Community Health Needs Assessment

Prepared for Inova Fair Oaks Hospital

*By*Verité Healthcare Consulting, LLC

Board Approved June 29, 2016

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABOUT VERITÉ HEALTHCARE CONSULTING	4
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	5
Introduction	5
Methodology Summary	6
Community Served by the Hospital	8
Significant Community Health Needs	9
METHODOLOGY	15
Data Sources	15
Collaboration	16
Prioritization Process.	16
Information Gaps	16
DEFINITION OF COMMUNITY ASSESSED	18
SECONDARY DATA ASSESSMENT	21
Demographics	21
Economic indicators	
People in Poverty	29
Unemployment	
Insurance Status	
Virginia Medicaid Expansion	33
Crime	
Local Health Status and Access Indicators	34
County Health Rankings	
Community Health Status Indicators	
Virginia Department of Health	
Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System	
Youth Risk Behavior Data	
Ambulatory Care Sensitive Conditions	
Community Need Index TM and Food Deserts	
Dignity Health Community Need Index	
Food Deserts	
Medically Underserved Areas and Populations	
Description of Other Facilities and Resources within the Community	

Federally Qualified Health Centers	52
Other Clinics for Lower-Income Individuals	53
Hospitals	54
Other Community Resources	54
Findings of Other Community Health Needs Assessments	55
PRIMARY DATA ASSESSMENT	64
Community Survey Findings	64
Respondent Characteristics.	64
Results: Inova Fair Oaks Hospital Community Residents	64
Results: Northern-Virginia Wide Responses by Demographic Cohort	67
Key Stakeholder Interviews	74
Findings	74
Interview Participants	76
APPENDIX A – COMMUNITY SURVEY INSTRUMENT	77
APPENDIX B – ACTIONS TAKEN SINCE THE PREVIOUS CHNA	84

ABOUT VERITÉ HEALTHCARE CONSULTING

Verité Healthcare Consulting, LLC (Verité) was founded in May 2006 and is located in Alexandria, Virginia. The firm serves clients throughout the United States as a resource that helps health care providers conduct Community Health Needs Assessments and develop Implementation Strategies to address significant health needs. Verité has conducted more than 50 needs assessments for hospitals, health systems, and community partnerships nationally since 2010.

The firm also helps hospitals, hospital associations, and policy makers with community benefit reporting, program infrastructure, compliance, and community benefit-related policy and guidelines development. Verité is a recognized, national thought leader in community benefit and in the evolving expectations that tax-exempt healthcare organizations are required to meet.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Introduction

This Community Health Needs Assessment (CHNA) was conducted by Inova Fair Oaks Hospital (Inova Fair Oaks Hospital or "the hospital") to identify significant community health needs and to inform development of an Implementation Strategy to address those needs. The hospital's assessment of community health needs also responds to regulatory requirements.

Inova Fair Oaks Hospital is a 182-bed community acute care hospital that serves portions of Fairfax County, Loudoun County, Prince William County, and the City of Manassas. The hospital provides an array of medical and surgical services, including the Inova Spine Program, Inova Weight Loss services and bariatric surgery, joint replacement, maternity services, and others. Additional information on the hospital and its services is available at: http://www.inova.org/ifoh/.

The hospital is an operating unit of Inova Health System (Inova), which includes four other hospitals (Inova Alexandria Hospital, Inova Fairfax Medical Campus, Inova Loudoun Hospital, and Inova Mount Vernon Hospital) and that operates a number of other facilities and services across Northern Virginia. Additional information about Inova Health System is available at: http://www.inova.org/.

Federal regulations require that tax-exempt hospital facilities conduct a CHNA every three years and adopt an Implementation Strategy that addresses significant community health needs. Tax-exempt hospitals also are required to report information about the CHNA process and about community benefits they provide on IRS Form 990, Schedule H.

As described in the instructions to Schedule H, community benefits are programs or activities that provide treatment and/or promote health and healing as a response to identified community needs. Community benefit activities and programs also seek to achieve objectives, including:

- improving access to health services,
- enhancing public health,
- advancing increased general knowledge, and
- relief of a government burden to improve health. 1

To be reported, community need for the activity or program must be established. Need can be established by conducting a Community Health Needs Assessment.

CHNAs seek to identify significant health needs for particular geographic areas and populations by focusing on the following questions:

- Who in the community is most vulnerable in terms of health status or access to care?
- What are the unique health status and/or access needs for these populations?

5

¹Instructions for IRS form 990 Schedule H, 2015.

- *Where* do these people live in the community?
- *Why* are these problems present?

The question of **how** the hospital can best address significant needs is the subject of the separate Implementation Strategy.

Methodology Summary

An Advisory Committee was established to help guide the hospital's CHNA process. This committee included the Health Directors from the City of Alexandria and from Fairfax, Loudoun, and Arlington Counties. Executive Directors from three Federally Qualified Health Centers (FQHCs) also provided input (Neighborhood Health, HealthWorks for Northern Virginia (HealthWorks), and Greater Prince William Community Health Center). Committee members also included representatives from Inova hospitals and Inova Health System. Input was received from the committee regarding how the hospital's community was defined; data sources; interview candidates and protocols; the design and administration of a community survey and interpretation of its results; and the process by which community health needs were determined to be significant.

Federal regulations that govern the CHNA process allow hospital facilities to define the "community a hospital serves" based on "all of the relevant facts and circumstances," including the "geographic location" served by the hospital facility, "target populations served" (e.g., children, women, or the aged), and/or the hospital facility's principal functions (e.g., focus on a particular specialty area or targeted disease)." The community assessed by Inova Fair Oaks Hospital accounts for 79 percent of the hospital's 2014 inpatient discharges and emergency department visits.

Secondary data from multiple sources were gathered and assessed. Statistics for numerous health status, health care access, and related indicators were analyzed, including comparisons to benchmarks where possible. Findings from recent assessments of the community's health needs conducted by other organizations were reviewed as well.

Input from 64 individuals was received through key informant interviews. These informants represented the broad interests of the community and included individuals with special knowledge of or expertise in public health.

A community survey was administered between November 1, 2015 and January 31, 2016. The survey was translated into eight languages. A total of 2,232 surveys from across Northern Virginia were received and assessed. Among those, 1,118 surveys were received from individuals living in the Inova Fair Oaks Hospital community.

Community health needs were determined to be "significant" if they were identified as problematic in at least three of the four following data sources: (1) the most recently available secondary data regarding the community's health, (2) recent assessments developed by other

-


² 501(r) Final Rule, 2014.

organizations (e.g., local Health Departments), (3) community input provided by the key informants who participated in the interview process, or (4) the community survey.

It is important to note that the survey utilized a convenience sampling methodology, and not a random sampling approach, such as one carried out by dialing randomly selected phone numbers. For this reason, findings from the survey are not generalizable to or representative of community-wide opinion. Even with this consideration, results from the community survey have been included in this assessment because they may corroborate and supplement the other data sources, and may be helpful in identifying potential health disparities.

Community Served by the Hospital

The following map portrays the community served by Inova Fair Oaks Hospital



Summary Characteristics

- Community comprised of parts of Fairfax County, Loudoun County, Prince William County, and the City of Manassas (44 ZIP codes total)
- 79% of 2014 discharges originated in the community
 - 59% from Fairfax County
 - o 11% from Prince William County
- Total population in 2014: 1,176,251

- Projected population change between 2015 and 2020: 7.1%
 - o 33.9% for the 65+ population
- Comparatively favorable health status and socioeconomics, but pockets of poverty and specific community health problems found to be present
- Twelve significant community health were needs identified through the CHNA

Significant Community Health Needs

Based on an assessment of secondary data (a broad range of health status and access to care indicators) and of primary data received through key stakeholder interviews and the community survey, the following twelve issues have been identified as significant health needs in the community served by Inova Fair Oaks Hospital. The issues are presented below in alphabetical order, along with certain highlights regarding why each issue was identified as "significant."

Access to Basic Medical Care

- Primary care physician rates are below Virginia averages for both Loudoun and Prince William counties (Exhibit 20).
- Federally-designated Medically Underserved Populations are present in the community served by Inova Fair Oaks Hospital (Exhibit 34).
- Per-capita preventable admissions are particularly high in Prince William County (Exhibit 29). This may be due to issues with the accessibility and utilization of primary care, preventive care, and health education.
- Other recent health assessments identified access to basic medical care as a significant need, including the Fairfax County Community Health Improvement Plan (CHIP), Virginia Hospital Center's CHNA, the Prince William Coalition for Human Services' CHNA, the Virginia Health Equity Report, the Loudoun CHIP, and the Loudoun Community Health Status Assessment (CHSA). Challenges with accessing and understanding insurance benefits are cited in these reports as contributing to access problems.
- Access to basic medical care was identified by virtually all interviewees as problematic.
 Interviewees indicated that some individuals rely excessively on emergency departments for primary care.
- Interviewees identified a lack of transportation options, lack of health insurance coverage or understanding of coverage, and service affordability as significant barriers to primary care.
- The rate of uninsured residents in Fairfax County and Prince William County is near or above the Commonwealth's average (Exhibit 17).
- To date, Virginia has been one of the states that has not expanded Medicaid, as originally contemplated by the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (ACA, 2010). The uninsurance rate would decline if Virginia reversed this policy decision.
- Virginia-wide BRFSS data indicate that Hispanics have the highest uninsurance rate and are least able to see a doctor due to cost. Financial barriers to accessing care are greatest for lower-income individuals.
- Access to care is a Healthy People 2020 goal, as it "is important for the achievement of health equity and for increasing the quality of a healthy life for everyone."

Access to Dental Care

• County Health Rankings shows that the per-capita supply of dentists in both Loudoun and Prince William is below Virginia averages (Exhibit 20).

- Interviewees consistently stated that access to dental care is problematic for many in the community particularly for those without insurance or who have coverage that dentists are unwilling to accept.
- Other recent community health assessments identified access to dental care as a significant need, including the Virginia Hospital Center CHNA, Sentara CHNA, the Loudoun CHIP, the Loudoun CHNA, and the Prince William Medical Center CHNA.
- Access to dental care was identified by survey respondents in the Inova Fair Oaks Hospital community as the most difficult service to access (Exhibit 40).

Conditions and Care of the Elderly

- The total population in Inova Fair Oaks Hospital's community is projected to increase 7 percent between 2015 and 2020, while the number of persons 65 years of age and older is projected to increase by 33.9 percent over this period (**Exhibit 5**). Meeting the health and social services needs of the aging population is a significant issue.
- CHNA reports prepared by Sentara and Virginia Hospital Center identified care of the elderly as a significant community health need.
- Interviewees also identified care of the elderly as a challenge in the community. The need for additional in-home health care services and adult day care services frequently was mentioned. Concern was also raised about the number of seniors who live alone and suffer from poor mental health/depression.
- More than 17 percent of survey respondents from the area served by Inova Fair Oaks Hospital identified aging problems as one of the three most important health issues in the community (**Exhibit 38**).
- The health of older adults is a topic area focus in Healthy People 2020 goals. Objectives related to this goal include increased use of preventive services, increased providers with geriatric specialties and aging well in place.

Cultural Competency in Care

- The Inova Fair Oaks Hospital community is becoming increasingly diverse. U.S. Census data indicate that growth rates for Hispanic (or Latino) and Asian populations have been higher than those for Blacks/African Americans and Whites/Caucasians.
- Over 37 percent of the population in six community ZIP codes is foreign-born (Exhibit 10). Over 22 percent of the population in five ZIP codes have limited English proficiency (Exhibit 11).
- Poverty rates for Black and Hispanic (or Latino) populations in the community (and across Virginia) are comparatively high (Exhibit 14).
- The incidence of tuberculosis is comparatively high in the community, possibly resulting from high levels of immigration (**Exhibit 25**).
- Other recent health assessments in the community identified cultural competency in care as a significant need, including the Virginia Health Equity Report, the Fairfax County CHIP, the Prince William Medical Center CHNA, and The State of the Health Care Workforce in Northern Virginia.
- A number of interviewees stated that immigrants, undocumented workers, minority populations, and those with language barriers experience challenges in accessing care.

Diabetes

- In County Health Rankings, Fairfax, Loudoun, and Prince William Counties all have lower rates for diabetic screening than the Virginia average (Exhibit 20).
- Community Health Status Indicators (CHSI) data indicate that adult diabetes morbidity benchmarks unfavorably in Prince William County compared to peer counties (**Exhibit 21**).
- Other recent health assessments in the community identified diabetes as significant concern, including the Virginia Hospital CHNA, the Prince William Medical Center CHNA, The Prince William Coalition for Human Services CHNA, and the Sentara Northern Virginia CHNA.
- Several interviewees identified both diabetes and pre-diabetes as conditions of particular concern in the Inova Fair Oaks Hospital community.

Heart Disease

- Heart disease is the second leading cause of death in Fairfax, Loudoun, and Prince William Counties (Exhibit 22).
- Other community health reports also identified heart disease as an issue, including the Prince William Medical Center CHNA and Virginia Health Equity Report.
- About 10 percent of community survey respondents identified heart disease as a top community health concern (**Exhibit 38**). A number of respondents indicated they have been told at least once by a health care provider that they have conditions associated with heart disease as well (**Exhibit 39**).

Hypertension

- Other health assessments in the community identified hypertension as a significant community health need, including the Virginia Hospital Center CHNA, Sentara Northern Virginia CHNA, and Virginia Health Equity Report.
- Interviewees identified hypertension as a significant community health need, resulting from an unhealthy and sedentary lifestyle.
- More than 8 percent of community survey respondents identified hypertension as a top community health concern (Exhibit 38). Additionally, more than 35 percent of respondents indicated that they had been diagnosed with hypertension in the past (Exhibit 39).

Lack of Affordable Housing

- Community Health Status Indicators (CHSI) data indicate that high housing costs benchmark unfavorably in both Loudoun County and Prince William County compared to peer counties (Exhibit 21).
- The Prince William Coalition for Human Services CHNA, Loudoun County CHSA, and Loudoun CHIP all identified the lack of affordable housing as a top concern.
- Additionally, 18.5 percent of community survey respondents identified a lack of "housing that is adequate, safe and affordable" as a top community health concern (**Exhibit 38**).

Mental Health and Access to Mental Health Services

• Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance System (YRBSS) data for Fairfax County indicate above average rates of "sad or hopeless feelings" among youth (Exhibit 27).

- Virtually all other recent assessments of the community's health have identified mental health conditions and/or access to mental health services as a significant concern.
- Interviewees identified poor mental health status and access to mental health services as significant issues in the community for all age groups.
- More than 27 percent of respondents from the area served by Inova Fair Oaks Hospital indicated that mental health was among the top three health concerns in the community the second highest issue following "access to care" (Exhibit 38). 26 percent of respondents indicated they had been told at least once by a healthcare provider that they have a depressive disorder or other mental health concern (Exhibit 39).

Obesity and Obesity-Related Concerns

- Fairfax YRBSS data indicate that under 40 percent of youth are physically active (on five or more days in the past week) a proportion below Virginia and U.S. averages (**Exhibit 27**).
- The Healthy People 2020 goal related to nutrition and weight status is to "promote health and reduce chronic disease risk through the consumption of healthful diets and achievement and maintenance of healthy body weights."
- Other recent community health assessments have identified childhood and adult obesity as significant needs, including the Fairfax County CHIP, Loudoun CHIP, Sentara CHNA, Prince William Medical Center CHNA, and Virginia Hospital Center CHNA. Most of these assessments also identified diabetes, heart disease, and hypertension as problematic.
- Across all interviews, the health behaviors of greatest concern were poor diet and nutrition and limited physical activity. Limited access to healthy foods (for many in lower socio-economic classes), insufficient knowledge about nutrition, and a lack of walkability (including a lack of sidewalks and trails) throughout the community were cited as contributing factors to unhealthy, sedentary lifestyles.
- More than 24 percent of community survey respondents ranked obesity as one of the top three community health concerns in the Inova Fair Oaks Hospital community (Exhibit 38). More than 43 percent of respondents indicated that a medical professional had told them they were obese or overweight at some point in time (Exhibit 39).
- Over 15 percent of community survey respondents identified a lack of exercise as one of the top three community health concerns (Exhibit 38).

Physical Environment

- Fairfax, Loudoun, and Prince William Counties each exceeded Virginia averages in air pollution and the percent of drivers that commute to work alone and drive over thirty minutes (**Exhibit 20**).
- Interviewees identified a lack of adequate sidewalks and walking areas as another physical environment concern that impedes good health.
- Other health assessments also identified transportation and environmental concerns as priorities, including the Prince William Coalition for Human Services CHNA, Loudoun CHIP, Loudoun CHSA, and the Fairfax County CHIP.

Substance Abuse Including Excessive Alcohol Use

• In County Health Rankings, Fairfax, Loudoun, and Prince William rank in the bottom half of Virginia cities and counties for "excessive drinking" (Exhibit 19).

- In Community Health Status Indicators (CHSI), Fairfax County is also ranked within the bottom quartile of peer counties for "adult binge drinking" (**Exhibit 21**).
- Binge drinking rates may be highest for those aged 18 to 24 years and most prevalent within White populations (**Virginia BRFSS**).
- Several other, recent health assessments identified substance abuse and excessive alcohol
 use as significant needs, including the Fairfax CHIP, Loudoun CHIP, Loudoun CHSA,
 the Northern Virginia Health Foundation report, and the Prince William Medical Center
 CHNA.
- Interviewees cited alcohol abuse and binge drinking as the most prevalent substance abuse issue. Concerns about opioid use also were present. Interviewees were particularly concerned about adolescent substance abuse in the community.
- Thirteen (13) percent of community survey respondents indicated that alcohol and substance abuse was a top community health concern (Exhibit 38).

CHNA DATA AND ANALYSIS

METHODOLOGY

This section provides information on how the CHNA was conducted.

Data Sources

Community health needs were identified by collecting and analyzing data from multiple sources. Considering a vast array of information is important when assessing community health needs, to ensure the assessment captures a wide range of facts and perspectives and to increase confidence that significant community health needs have been identified accurately and objectively.

Statistics for numerous community health indicators were analyzed, including data provided by local, state, and federal government agencies, local community service organizations, and Inova Health System. Comparisons to benchmarks were made where possible. Fortunately, recent data regarding health needs for youth in Fairfax County were available for review from surveys administered in public schools, much like YRBSS (the Youth Risk Behaviors Surveillance System, a survey administered nationally by the CDC). This CHNA also incorporated findings from other recently conducted, relevant community health assessments.

Input from 64 persons representing the broad interests of the community was taken into account through key informant interviews. Interviewees included: individuals with special knowledge of or expertise in public health; local public health departments; agencies with current data or information about the health and social needs of the community; representatives of social service organizations; and leaders, representatives, and members of medically underserved, low-income, and minority populations.

A community survey was administered between November 1, 2015 and January 31, 2016. In total, 2,232 surveys were received from communities served by all Inova hospitals, and 1,118 surveys were received from residents of the Inova Fair Oaks Hospital community. The survey was available online (in eight languages: English, Amharic, Arabic, Farsi, Korean, Spanish, Vietnamese, and Urdu) and also in paper-based formats. The survey consisted of 22 questions about a range of health status and access issues and regarding respondent demographic characteristics (see Appendix A).

Paper copies of the survey were distributed to various local organizations. Efforts were made to reach vulnerable populations such as racial and ethnic minorities, low-income groups, and non-English speakers. The survey was publicized via social media and interactions with human services organizations, Health Departments, and other methods.

It is important to note that the survey utilized a convenience sampling methodology, and not a random sampling approach, such as one carried out by dialing randomly selected phone numbers. For this reason, findings from the survey are not generalizable to or representative of community-wide opinion. Even with this consideration, results from the community survey have been included in this assessment because they may corroborate and supplement the other data sources, and may be helpful in identifying potential health disparities.

Surveys submitted or entered between mid-November 18, 2015 and February 2, 2016 are included in this assessment.

Collaboration

The hospital collaborated with an Advisory Committee, which was established to help guide the CHNA process. This committee included the Health Directors from the City of Alexandria and from Fairfax, Loudoun, and Arlington Counties. Executive Directors from three Federally Qualified Health Centers (FQHCs) also provided input (Neighborhood Health, HealthWorks for Northern Virginia (HealthWorks), and Greater Prince William Community Health Center). Committee members also included representatives from Inova hospitals and the Inova Health System. Input was received from the committee regarding how the hospital's community was defined; data sources; interview candidates and protocols; the design and administration of a community survey and interpretation of its results; and the process by which community health needs were determined to be significant.

Prioritization Process

Community health needs were determined to be "significant" if they were identified as problematic in at least three of the four following data sources: (1) the most recently available secondary data regarding the community's health, (2) recent assessments developed by other organizations (e.g., local Health Departments), (3) community input provided by the key informants who participated in the interview process, or (4) the community survey.

Information Gaps

This CHNA relies on multiple data sources and community input gathered between August 2015 and February 2016. A number of data limitations should be recognized when interpreting results. For example, some data (e.g., County Health Rankings, Community Health Status Indicators, Behavioral Risk Factors Surveillance System, and others) exist only at a county-wide level of detail. These data sources do not allow assessing health needs at a more granular level of detail, such as by ZIP code or census tract. The hospital's community includes subsets of Fairfax County, Loudoun County and Prince William County ZIP codes, so relying on county-wide data for those areas is imprecise.

Secondary data upon which this assessment relies measure community health in prior years. For example, the most recently available mortality data published by the Virginia Department of Health are from 2013. Others sources incorporate data from 2010. The impacts of recent public policy developments, changes in the economy, and other community developments are not yet reflected in those data sets.

In addition, data availability varies across cities and counties in Northern Virginia. For example, both Fairfax County and the City of Alexandria recently conducted youth risk behavior surveys in public schools. These "YRBSS" (Youth Risk Behaviors Surveillance System) data are helpful in identifying health issues for youth, such as concerns regarding mental health, smoking, sexual

behaviors, substance abuse, and others. A similar survey has not yet been conducted in Loudoun or Prince William counties.

The community survey developed and administered for this CHNA was not administered to a random sample of community residents. Accordingly, its results are not generalizable to or representative of community-wide opinion.

The findings of this CHNA may differ from those of others conducted in the community. Differences in data sources, communities assessed (e.g., hospital service areas versus counties or cities), and prioritization processes contribute to differences in findings.

DEFINITION OF COMMUNITY ASSESSED

This section identifies the community that was assessed by Inova Fair Oaks Hospital. The community was defined by considering the geographic origins of the hospital's 2014 inpatient discharges and emergency department visits.

Inova Fair Oaks Hospital's community is comprised of 44 ZIP codes, including parts of Fairfax County, Loudoun County, Prince William County, and the City of Manassas (Exhibit 1).

Exhibit 1: Inova Fair Oaks Hospital Inpatient Discharges and Emergency Department Visits by City or County, 2014

Subregion	Percent of Discharges	Percent of Emergency Department Visits
Fairfax County, VA	58.6%	64.1%
Loudoun County, VA	7.9%	4.6%
Manassas City, VA	2.0%	1.4%
Prince William County, VA	10.6%	6.0%
Combined Community Total	79.1%	76.2%
Other Area	20.9%	23.8%
All Areas	100.0%	100.0%
Note: Total Discharges and ED Visits	13,044	50,598

Source: Inova Health System, 2015.

In 2014, the 44 ZIP codes that comprise the hospital's community accounted for 79 percent of its discharges and 76 percent of its emergency department visits.

The total population of this community in 2014 was approximately 1,176,000 (Exhibit 2).

Exhibit 2: Community Population, 2014

Subregions	2014 Population	Percent of 2014 Population
Fairfax County Subregions	699,031	59.4%
Centreville	71,256	6.1%
Chantilly	18,956	1.6%
Clifton/Fairfax Station	36,295	3.1%
Fairfax City	59,103	5.0%
GMU/Burke	73,673	6.3%
Oakton/Fair Lakes/S. Herndon	109,842	9.3%
Reston/Herndon	101,590	8.6%
East Fairfax 29/50 Corridor	73,705	6.3%
Springfield	90,439	7.7%
Vienna	64,172	5.5%
Loudoun County Subregions	232,477	19.8%
South Riding/Aldie	50,300	4.3%
Ashburn/Arcola	102,785	8.7%
Sterling/Dulles	79,393	6.7%
Manassas City Subregions	88,276	7.5%
Manassas West	88,276	7.5%
Prince William County Subgregions	156,467	13.3%
Gainesville/Haymarket/Bull Run	97,896	8.3%
Manassas East	58,570	5.0%
Community Total	1,176,251	100.0%

Source: Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments, 2015.

The hospital is located in the Oakton/Fair Lakes/South Herndon area (ZIP code 22033).

The map in **Exhibit 3** portrays the ZIP codes and jurisdictions that comprise the Inova Fair Oaks Hospital community.




Exhibit 3: Inova Fair Oaks Hospital Community

Source: Microsoft MapPoint and Inova Health System, 2015.

SECONDARY DATA ASSESSMENT

This section presents an assessment of secondary data regarding health needs in the Inova Fair Oaks Hospital community.

Demographics

Population characteristics and changes directly influence community health needs. The total population in the Inova Fair Oaks Hospital community is expected to grow 7.1 percent from 2015 to 2020 (Exhibit 4).

Exhibit 4: Percent Change in Community Population by Subregion

	Total Population			Percent (Popul	_
Subregions	2010	2015	2020	2010-2015	2015-2020
Fairfax County Subregions	680,674	703,762	727,530	3.4%	3.4%
Centreville	69,970	71,582	72,946	2.3%	1.9%
Chantilly	17,648	19,298	21,021	9.4%	8.9%
Clifton/Fairfax Station	35,855	36,406	36,654	1.5%	0.7%
Fairfax City	57,182	59,593	61,298	4.2%	2.9%
GMU/Burke	73,043	73,832	74,132	1.1%	0.4%
Oakton/Fair Lakes/S. Herndon	104,453	111,255	114,852	6.5%	3.2%
Reston/Herndon	99,860	102,029	105,672	2.2%	3.6%
East Fairfax 29/50 Corridor	71,823	74,186	77,617	3.3%	4.6%
Springfield	87,949	91,086	94,950	3.6%	4.2%
Vienna	62,891	64,497	68,389	2.6%	6.0%
Loudoun County Subregions	198,833	242,757	278,495	22.1%	14.7%
South Riding/Aldie	36,261	54,813	72,200	51.2%	31.7%
Ashburn/Arcola	86,413	107,502	121,399	24.4%	12.9%
Sterling/Dulles	76,160	80,443	84,896	5.6%	5.5%
Manassas City Subregions	81,435	90,085	99,989	10.6%	11.0%
Manassas West	81,435	90,085	99,989	10.6%	11.0%
Prince William County Subgregions	141,721	160,415	175,827	13.2%	9.6%
Gainesville/Haymarket/Bull Run	86,675	100,928	112,055	16.4%	11.0%
Manassas East	55,047	59,487	63,772	8.1%	7.2%
Combined Community Total	1,102,663	1,197,019	1,281,841	8.6%	7.1%

Source: Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments, 2015.

Every subregion in the community is projected to experience population growth from 2015 to 2020. Populations in South Riding/Aldie, Ashburn/Arcola, Manassas West, and Gainesville/Haymarket/Bull Run are expected to grow the fastest.

Exhibit 5 shows the community's population by age and sex from 2010 through 2015, with projections to 2020.

Exhibit 5: Percent Change in Population by Age/Sex Cohort, 2015-2020

	Com	munity Popula	% Change in	Population	
Age/Sex Cohort	2010	2015	2020	2010-2015	2015-2020
0-17	290,969	306,046	317,727	5.2%	3.8%
Female 18-44	212,960	219,243	218,863	3.0%	-0.2%
Male 18-44	212,877	222,664	225,344	4.6%	1.2%
45-54	175,277	183,681	187,066	4.8%	1.8%
55-64	120,621	144,610	171,179	19.9%	18.4%
65+	89,958	120,775	161,662	34.3%	33.9%
Total	1,102,663	1,197,019	1,281,841	8.6%	7.1%

Source: Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments and Claritas, 2015.

The number of persons aged 65 years and older is projected to increase by nearly 34 percent between 2015 and 2020. The population 55 to 64 years of age is projected to increase by over 18 percent. The growth of older populations is likely to lead to growing need for health services, since on an overall per-capita basis, older individuals typically need and use more services than younger persons.

Exhibit 6 illustrates the percent of the population 65 years of age and older in the community by ZIP code.




Exhibit 6: Percent of Population Aged 65+ by ZIP Code, 2014

Source: U.S. Census, ACS 5-Year Estimates, 2010-2014

Certain ZIP codes had the highest proportions of populations aged 65 and over (20143/Gainesville/Haymarket/Bull Run, 22027/Vienna, 22150/Springfield), each over 15 percent. ZIP code 20148 in Loudoun County (Ashburn/Arcola) had the lowest proportion.

According to Fairfax County, between 2000 and 2010 all of the net population growth in the county was from ethnic and racial minorities. U.S. Census data indicate that the percent of the population White/Caucasian (excluding Hispanics and Latinos) declined between 2010 and 2014 across Northern Virginia, and that across the Inova Fair Oaks Hospital community growth rates for Hispanic (or Latino) and Asian populations have been well above rates for Blacks/African Americans and Whites/Caucasians.

Exhibits 7 through 11 show locations in the community where the percentages of the population that are Black, Hispanic (or Latino), Asian, Foreign-Born, and "not proficient in English" were highest in 2014.




Exhibit 7: Percent of Population - Black, 2014

ZIP codes where the percent of the population that is Black (African American) is highest were 20109, 20110, and 20166, each at over 13 percent.




Exhibit 8: Percent of Population - Hispanic (or Latino), 2014

ZIP codes where the percent of the population that is Hispanic (or Latino) is highest were 20109, 20164, 20110, and 20111, each at over 30 percent. According to the U.S. Census, the Hispanic (or Latino) population in Fairfax County increased by 11.6 percent between 2011 and 2014.




Exhibit 9: Percent of Population - Asian, 2014

ZIP codes where the percent of the population that is Asian is highest were in Western Fairfax County and Loudoun County (ZIP codes 22027, 20148, and 20171), each above 30 percent. According to the U.S. Census, the Asian population in Fairfax County increased by 8.8 percent between 2011 and 2014.




Exhibit 10: Percent of Population - Foreign-Born, 2014

In ZIP codes 22150, 20121, 22031, 20166, 22044, and 22042, the percent of the population foreign-born exceeded 37 percent.




Exhibit 11: Percent of Population - Not Proficient in English, 2014

In 2014, over 30 percent of the residents of ZIP code 22150 were not proficient in English. Over 20 percent of those in ZIP codes 20164, 20121, 20109, 20170 and 22042 shared this characteristic.

Data regarding residents without a high school diploma, with a disability, and linguistically isolated are presented in **Exhibit 12** by city and county and for Virginia and the United States.

Exhibit 12: Other Socioeconomic Indicators, 2014

Measure	Fairfax County	Loudoun County	Prince William County	Virginia	U.S.
Population 25+ without High School Diploma	8.1%	6.5%	10.4%	12.1%	13.7%
Population with a Disability	6.4%	5.2%	6.8%	11.0%	12.3%
Population Linguistically Isolated	14.5%	10.0%	11.8%	5.6%	8.6%

Source: U.S. Census, ACS 5-Year Estimates, 2010-2014

Exhibit 12 indicates that:

- Fairfax, Loudoun, and Prince William Counties have lower percentages of residents aged 25 years and older without a high school diploma than Virginia and United States averages.
- These counties had a lower percentage of the population with a disability, at about half the Virginia and United States averages.
- Compared to Virginia and national averages, these areas had a higher proportion of the population that is linguistically isolated. Linguistic isolation is defined as residents who speak a language other than English and speak English less than "very well."

Economic indicators

The following categories of economic indicators with implications for health were assessed: (1) people in poverty; (2) unemployment rate; (3) insurance status; and (4) crime.

People in Poverty

Many health needs have been associated with poverty. According to the U.S. Census, in 2014 approximately 11.5 percent of people in Virginia lived in poverty. Fairfax, Loudoun, and Prince William counties reported overall poverty rates well below the Virginia average (**Exhibit 13**).




Exhibit 13: Percent of People in Poverty, 2014

Source: U.S. Census, ACS 5-Year Estimates, 2010-2014

While poverty rates in the community served by the hospital appear lower than the Commonwealth-wide average, variation in poverty rates is present across racial and ethnic categories (**Exhibit 14**).




Exhibit 14: Poverty Rates by Race and Ethnicity, 2014

Poverty rates across the community have been comparatively high for African American, Hispanic (or Latino), and Asian residents. In counties served by the hospital, the poverty rates for Hispanic (or Latino) residents are generally highest. Overall, the community's poverty rates are below Virginia averages for each cohort.

Exhibit 15 portrays (in blue shading) the locations of low income census tracts in the community. The U.S. Department of Agriculture defines "low income census tracts" as areas where poverty rates are 20 percent or higher or where median family incomes are 80 percent or lower than within the metropolitan area.



Exhibit 15: Low Income Census Tracts

Source: US Department of Agriculture Economic Research Service, ESRI, 2016.

Low income census tracts are present in Centreville, in the areas around Manassas, and in southeastern Prince William County.

Unemployment

Unemployment is problematic because many receive health insurance coverage through their (or a family member's) employer. If unemployment rises, employer based health insurance can become less available. Exhibit 16 shows unemployment rates for 2010 through 2014 for Fairfax County, Loudoun County, and Prince William County, with Virginia and national rates for comparison.




Exhibit 16: Unemployment Rates, 2010-2014

Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics, 2010-2014.

Unemployment rates fell significantly between 2010 and 2014. While unemployment rates in the areas served by the hospital have been well below Virginia and national averages, the decrease from 2010-2014 has been comparatively slower.

Insurance Status

Exhibit 17 presents the estimated percent of populations in Fairfax, Loudoun, and Prince William Counties and the Commonwealth of Virginia without health insurance (uninsured).




Exhibit 17: Percent of the Population without Health Insurance, 2014

Source: U.S. Census, ACS 5-Year Estimates, 2010-2014

At 13.5 percent, the uninsurance rate in Prince William County exceeded the Commonwealthwide average.

Virginia Medicaid Expansion

The uninsurance rate would be lower if Virginia had expanded eligibility for Medicaid as originally contemplated by the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (ACA, 2010). Subsequent to the ACA's passage, a June 2012 Supreme Court ruling provided states with discretion regarding whether or not to expand Medicaid eligibility. To date, Virginia has been one of the states that has not expanded Medicaid. As a result, Medicaid eligibility in Virginia has remained very limited.

In Virginia, Medicaid is primarily available to children in low-income families, pregnant women, low-income elderly persons, individuals with disabilities, and parents who meet specific income thresholds.³ Adults without children or disabilities are ineligible.

It has been estimated that over 400,000 Virginians could gain coverage if Medicaid were expanded. Across the United States, uninsurance rates have fallen most in states that decided to expand Medicaid.⁴

Crime

Exhibit 18 provides certain crime statistics for the counties served by Inova Fair Oaks Hospital and for Virginia. Cells are shaded if the statistic is at all worse than Virginia averages.

Exhibit 18: Crime Rates by Type and County, Per 100,000, 2014

Crime	Fairfax County	Loudoun County	Prince William County	Virginia
Violent Crime	85.8	65.2	177.5	199.6
Murder/Non-negligent manslaughter	0.9	0.9	1.6	4.1
Rape	13.4	15.0	20.3	28.2
Robbery	35.8	12.1	47.8	52.4
Aggravated assault	35.6	37.2	107.7	114.8
Property Crime	1,298.9	766.3	1,363.9	1,963.6
Burglary	82.4	50.8	148.3	282.5
Larceny-theft	1,150.0	682.2	1,147.9	1,587.4
Motor vehicle theft	66.6	33.3	67.6	93.6

Source: FBI, 2014.

All crime rates for the three counties in the community were below Virginia averages for 2014.

³ DMAS.

⁴ See: http://hrms.urban.org/briefs/Increase-in-Medicaid-under-the-ACA-reduces-uninsurance.html

Local Health Status and Access Indicators

This section assesses health status and access indicators for the Inova Fair Oaks Hospital community. Data sources include: (1) County Health Rankings, (2) the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's (CDC) Community Health Status Indicators, (3) the Virginia Department of Health, (4) the CDC's Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, and (5) Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance System data gathered by the CDC and officials from Fairfax.

Throughout this section, data and cells are highlighted if indicators are unfavorable – because they exceed benchmarks (typically, Virginia averages). Where confidence interval data are available, cells are highlighted only if variances are unfavorable and also statistically significant.

County Health Rankings

County Health Rankings, a University of Wisconsin Population Health Institute initiative funded by the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation, incorporates a variety of health status indicators into a system that ranks each county/city within each state in terms of "health factors" and "health outcomes." These health factors and outcomes are composite measures based on several variables grouped into the following categories: health behaviors, clinical care, ⁵ social and economic factors, and physical environment. ⁶ County Health Rankings is updated annually. County Health Rankings 2016 relies on data from 2006 to 2015, with most data from 2010 to 2013.

Exhibit 19 presents 2013 and 2016 rankings for each available indicator category. Rankings indicate how the county (or city) ranked in relation to all 134 counties (or cities) in the Commonwealth, with 1 indicating the most favorable ranking and 134 the least favorable. The table also indicates if rankings fell between 2013 and 2016. For some indicators, for example "Excessive drinking," values are available for fewer than 134 counties (or cities). For that indicator, only 97 comparison jurisdictions were available for the 2013 County Health Ranking.

Indicators in the exhibit are shaded based on the jurisdiction's percentile for the state ranking (light shading indicates the jurisdiction is in the bottom 50th percentile and dark shading indicated the jurisdiction is in the bottom 25th percentile). For example, Loudoun County compared unfavorably to other counties in Virginia for the percentage of Medicare eligible individuals receiving diabetic screening. Loudoun's rank of 106 out of 134 counties placed it in the bottom 25th percentile in the 2016 rankings.

.

⁵A composite measure of Access to Care, which examines the percent of the population without health insurance and ratio of population to primary care physicians, and Quality of Care, which examines the hospitalization rate for ambulatory care sensitive conditions, whether diabetic Medicare patients are receiving HbA1C screening, and percent of chronically ill Medicare enrollees in hospice care in the last 8 months of life.

⁶A composite measure that examines Environmental Quality, which measures the number of air pollution-particulate matter days and air pollution-ozone days, and Built Environment, which measures access to healthy foods and recreational facilities and the percent of restaurants that are fast food.

Exhibit 19: County Health Rankings, 2013 and 2016

		Fairfax County	1	Loudoun County		Prince William County			
	2013	2016	Rank Change	2013	2016	Rank Change	2013	2016	Rank Change
Health Outcomes	1	2	\downarrow	2	1		10	9	
Length of Life	2	3	\downarrow	1	1		8	10	\downarrow
Quality of Life	3	2		8	1		41	12	
Health Factors	4	3		2	4	\rightarrow	21	21	
Health Behaviors	5	1		4	3		31	10	
Adult smoking*	16	1		14	2		40	17	
Adult obesity	4	2		3	4	\downarrow	23	12	
Excessive drinking**	95	88		92	126	\rightarrow	87	104	\downarrow
STIs	19	24	\downarrow	9	18	\downarrow	58	66	\downarrow
Teen births	12	13	\downarrow	10	7		54	49	
Clinical Care	15	13		9	21	\downarrow	76	72	
Primary care physicians	26	19		43	34		84	78	
Dentists	13	20	\downarrow	36	38	\downarrow	54	54	
Mental health providers	23	34	\downarrow	47	46		83	56	
Preventable hospital stays	7	7		35	50	\downarrow	46	33	
Diabetic screening	107	97		103	106	\rightarrow	102	104	\downarrow
Social & Economic Factors	2	5	\downarrow	1	2	\rightarrow	18	20	\downarrow
Some college	8	6		4	3		25	23	
Unemployment	3	7	\downarrow	2	8	\downarrow	8	29	\downarrow
Injury deaths	-	5		-	2		-	8	
Physical Environment	46	28		35	116	\rightarrow	64	53	
Air pollution	69	66		100	83		56	68	\downarrow
Severe housing problems	-	81		-	59		-	93	

^{*2013} Data Ranked out of 98 Counties with Data Available

Source: County Health Rankings, 2016.

Overall, Fairfax, Loudoun, and Prince William Counties all compared favorably in most indicator categories to the other cities and counties in Virginia. Exceptions include excessive drinking and diabetic screening rates (for Medicare eligible individuals). Fairfax County also compared unfavorably in severe housing problems. Loudoun County compared unfavorably in physical environment and air pollution. Prince William County compared unfavorable in clinical care, primary care physicians rate, air pollution, and severe housing problems. Rankings in all jurisdictions fell for STIs and unemployment between 2013 and 2016. Rankings for Loudoun and Prince William also noticeably fell in excessive drinking.

Exhibit 20 provides data for each underlying indicator of the composite categories in the County Health Rankings. The exhibit also includes national averages. Cells in the exhibit are shaded if the indicator for the city or county exceeded the Virginia average at all for that indicator, and are shaded darker if the value is 25% worse than Virginia.

^{**2013} Data Ranked out of 97 Counties with Data Available

⁻

⁷ County Health Rankings provides details about what each indicator measures, how it is defined, and data sources at http://www.countyhealthrankings.org/sites/default/files/resources/2013Measures datasources years.pdf

Exhibit 20: County Health Rankings Data Compared to Virginia and U.S. Average, 2016

		Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William		
Indicator Category	Data	County	County	County	Virginia	U.S.
	Health Outcomes					
Length of Life	Years of potential life lost before age 75 per 100,000 population	3,402.1	3,227.5	4,373.5	6,147.1	7,700.0
	Percent of adults reporting fair or poor health	10.3	10.5	13.5	14.2	16.0
Quality of Life	Average number of physically unhealthy days reported in past 30 days	2.6	2.6	2.8	3.2	3.7
Quality of Life	Average number of mentally unhealthy days reported in past 30 days	2.5	2.6	2.7	3.1	3.7
	Percent of live births with low birthweight (<2500 grams)	7.1	6.6	7.3	8.2	8.0
	Health Factors					
Health Behaviors						
Adult Smoking	Percent of adults that report smoking >= 100 cigarettes and currently smoking	12.3	13.0	15.3	16.9	18.0
Adult Obesity	Percent of adults that report a BMI >= 30	19.9	21.8	25.2	27.3	31.0
Food Environment Index	Index of factors that contribute to a healthy food environment, 0 (worst) to 10 (best)	9.6	10.0	9.3	8.3	7.2
Physical Inactivity	Percent of adults aged 20 and over reporting no leisure-time physical activity	15.4	19.5	18.1	22.2	28.0
Access to Exercise Opportunities	Percent of population with adequate access to locations for physical activity	100.0	89.0	91.0	80.7	62.0
Alcohol Impaired Driving Deaths	Percent of driving deaths with alcohol involvement	26.0	33.8	22.4	31.2	30.0
Excessive Drinking	Binge plus heavy drinking	16.6	18.7	17.5	16.8	17.0
STDs	Chlamydia rate per 100,000 population	182.3	158.2	311.7	407.0	287.7
Teen Births	Teen birth rate per 1,000 female population, ages 15-19	13.1	10.9	26.6	27.5	40.0
Clinical Care						
Uninsured	Percent of population under age 65 without health insurance	12.3	9.1	14.5	14.0	17.0
Primary Care Physicians	Ratio of population to primary care physicians	973:1	1350:1	2345:1	1329:1	1990:1
Dentists	Ratio of population to dentists	1033:1	1650:1	2075:1	1570:1	2590:1
Mental Health Providers	Ratio of population to mental health providers	650:1	781:1	1005:1	685:1	1060:1
Preventable Hospital Stays	Hospitalization rate for ambulatory-care sensitive conditions per 1,000 Medicare enrollees	32.9	46.7	43.1	49.1	60.0
Diabetic Screening	Percent of diabetic Medicare enrollees that receive HbA1c monitoring	85.6	84.9	85.3	86.6	85.0
Mammography Screening Source: County Health Ranki	Percent of female Medicare enrollees, ages 67-69, that receive mammography screening	61.0	58.0	56.0	63.0	61.0

Source: County Health Rankings, 2016.

Exhibit 20: County Health Rankings Data Compared to Virginia and U.S. Average, 2016 (continued)

Indicator Category	Data	Fairfax County	Loudoun County	Prince William County	Virginia	U.S.
Social & Economic Factors						
High School Graduation	Percent of ninth-grade cohort that graduates in four years	86.0	93.0	84.0	84.6	86.0
Some College	Percent of adults aged 25-44 years with some post-secondary education	79.9	82.9	69.4	68.8	56.0
Unemployment	Percent of population age 16+ unemployed but seeking work	4.1	4.2	4.8	5.2	6.0
Children in poverty	Percent of children under age 18 in poverty	8.7	4.4	10.4	15.9	23.0
Income Inequality	Ratio of household income at the 80th percentile to income at the 20th percentile	3.8	3.3	3.5	4.8	4.4
Children in single-parent households	Percent of children that live in a household headed by single parent	19.2	15.3	23.1	30.0	32.0
Social Associations	Number of associations per 10,000 population	8.2	6.9	6.0	11.3	13.0
Violent Crime	Number of reported violent crime offenses per 100,000 population	90.0	88.5	148.4	200.2	199.0
Injury Deaths	Injury mortality per 100,000	30.0	25.9	33.0	52.0	74.0
Physical Environment					<u>, </u>	
Air Pollution	The average daily measure of fine particulate matter in micrograms per cubic meter (PM2.5) in a county	12.7	12.8	12.7	12.7	11.9
Severe Housing Problems	Percentage of households with at least 1 of 4 housing problems: overcrowding, high housing costs, or lack of kitchen or plumbing facilities		13.1	15.9	15.4	14.0
Drive Alone to Work	Percent of the workforce that drives alone to work	72.1	78.0	73.5	77.5	80.0
Long Commute- Drive Alone	Among workers who commute in their car alone, the percent that commute more than 30 minutes		51.0	61.7	38.2	29.0

Source: County Health Rankings, 2016.

Exhibit 20 highlights the following comparatively unfavorable indicators:

- Alcohol impaired driving deaths in Loudoun,
- Binge plus heavy drinking (Loudoun and Prince William),
- Percent of population without health insurance in Prince William,
- The supply of primary care physicians, dentists, and mental health providers (Loudoun and Prince William),
- Percent of diabetic Medicare enrollees that receive HbA1c monitoring,
- Percent of female Medicare enrollees that receive mammography screening,
- High school graduation rate in Prince William County,
- The rate of social associations.
- Average air particulate matter (pollution),
- Percent of households with severe housing problems in Prince William,
- Percent of workforce that drives alone to work in Loudoun, and
- Percent of workers who commute in their car alone and drive more than 30 minutes.

Community Health Status Indicators

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's *Community Health Status Indicators* provide health profiles for all 3,143 counties in the United States. Counties are assessed using 44 metrics associated with health outcomes including health care access and quality, health behaviors, social factors, and the physical environment.

The *Community Health Status Indicators* allow comparisons between a given county to other "peer counties." Peer counties are assigned based on 19 variables including population size, population growth, population density, household income, unemployment, percent children, percent elderly and poverty rates.

Exhibit 21 compares Fairfax, Loudoun, and Prince William to peer counties and cities and highlights community health issues found to rank in the bottom quartile of the jurisdictions included in the analysis.

Exhibit 21: Community Health Status Indicators, 2015

		Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William
Category	Indicator	County	County	County
	Alzheimer's Disease Deaths			
	Cancer Deaths			
	Chronic Kidney Disease Deaths			
	Chronic Lower Respiratory Disease (CLRD) Deaths			
	Coronary Heart Disease Deaths			
Mortality	Diabetes Deaths			
	Female Life Expectancy			
	Male Life Expectancy			
	Motor Vehicle Deaths			
	Stroke Deaths			
	Unintentional Injury (including motor vehicle)			
	Adult Diabetes			
	Adult Obesity			
	Adult Overall Health Status			
	Alzheimer's Disease/Dementia			
	Cancer			
Morbidity	Gonorrhea			
	HIV			
	Older Adult Asthma			
	Older Adult Depression			
	Preterm Births			
	Syphilis			
	Cost Barrier to Care			
Health Care	Older Adult Preventable Hospitalizations			
Access and Quality	Primary Care Provider Access			
Quanty	Uninsured			
	Adult Binge Drinking			
	Adult Female Routine Pap Tests			
Health Behaviors	Adult Physical Inactivity			
	Adult Smoking			
	Teen Births			
	Children in Single-Parent Households			
	High Housing Costs			
	Inadequate Social Support			
Social Factors	On Time High School Graduation			
	Poverty			
	Unemployment			
	Violent Crime			
	Access to Parks			
	Annual Average PM2.5 Concentration			
Physical	Drinking Water Violations			
Environment	Housing Stress			
	Limited Access to Healthy Food			
	Living Near Highways			1
	ummunity Health Status Indicators 2015			<u> </u>

Source: Community Health Status Indicators, 2015.

The CHSI data indicate that:

- Fairfax County compares favorably to its peer counties in most areas, with HIV morbidity, binge drinking, on time high school graduation, and the number of people living near highways the exceptions.
- Loudoun County also compares favorably except for housing costs, housing stress, and access to parks.
- Prince William County compares unfavorably to its peer counties for many more indicators, including the incidence of several chronic diseases, access to care, smoking, teen births, and a number of social factors.

Virginia Department of Health

The Virginia Department of Health maintains a data warehouse that includes city or county-level indicators regarding mortality (Exhibits 22 and 23), cancer incidence (Exhibit 24), communicable diseases (Exhibit 25), and maternal and child health (Exhibit 26). Cells are shaded if the statistic is at all worse than Virginia averages, but does not indicate a statistically significant difference.

Exhibit 22 provides age-adjusted mortality rates for selected causes of death in 2013.

Exhibit 22: Selected Causes of Death, Age-Adjusted Rates per 100,000 Population, 2013

Age Adjusted Mortality Rates	Fairfax County	Loudoun County	Prince William County	Virginia
Total Deaths	492.9	515.0	608.0	720.1
Cancer	117.4	123.5	135.7	161.3
Heart Disease	102.2	117.0	126.8	155.9
Cerebrovascular Diseases (Stroke)	26.0	29.8	27.2	38.5
Chronic Lower Respiratory Diseases	19.9	20.7	32.3	37.2
Unintentional Injury	20.6	22.2	26.1	33.0
Alzheimer's Disease	12.4	12.8	12.9	19.6
Diabetes	10.8	12.7	16.4	18.3
Nephritis and Nephrosis	11.6	11.3	9.6	18.0
Septicemia	12.0	3.1	11.4	17.7
Influenza and Pneumonia	12.5	11.6	20.2	16.8
Suicide	10.0	9.6	9.8	12.2
Chronic Liver Disease	4.2	3.8	5.1	8.9
Primary Hypertension and Renal Disease	6.2	7.3	6.8	7.2

Source: Virginia Department of Health, 2013.

With few exceptions (including influenza and pneumonia in Prince William and primary hypertension and renal disease in Loudoun), age-adjusted mortality rates in the community have been below Virginia averages.

Exhibit 23: Cancer Deaths, Age-Adjusted Rates per 100,000 Population, 2008-2012

Mortality Rate	Fairfax County	Loudoun County	Prince William County	Virginia
All Cancers	131.7	140.3	155.7	171.2
Breast	20.3	19.2	22.7	22.7
Cervical	1.4	1.8	2.1	1.9
Colorectal	11.5	14.2	13.3	14.9
Lung and Bronchus	28.0	35.2	43.3	48.2
Melanoma	2.6	2.2	2.3	2.9
Oral Cavity	1.2	1.7	2.5	2.3
Ovarian	7.7	7.4	5.7	7.9
Prostate	16.9	21.3	22.1	22.4

Source: Virginia Department of Health, 2012.

Similarly, cancer mortality rates have generally been below Virginia averages on an age-adjusted basis.

Exhibit 24 presents age-adjusted cancer incidence rates in the community.

Exhibit 24: Age-Adjusted Cancer Incidence Rates per 100,000 Population, 2008-2012

Incidence Rate	Fairfax County	Loudoun County	Prince William County	Virginia
All Cancers	381.8	364.9	373.7	429.1
Breast	125.9	123.4	111.4	124.6
Prostate	109.1	105.3	110.2	126.3
Lung and Bronchus	41.0	44.2	52.1	63.6
Colorectal	33.2	32.3	33.7	38.3
Melanoma	13.2	12.9	10.1	18.3
Ovarian	13.3	13.5	11.4	11.8
Pancreas	10.1	7.9	8.2	10.4
Cervical	5.9	4.2	5.0	6.3

Source: National Cancer Institute and Center for Disease Control and Prevention, 2012.

The incidence rates of breast cancer in Fairfax and ovarian cancer in Fairfax and Loudoun were higher than the Virginia averages in the 2008 through 2012 time period.

Exhibit 25: Communicable Disease Incidence per 100,000 Population, 2014

Diagnosis	Fairfax County	Loudoun County	Prince William County	Virginia
HIV	15.0	3.9	9.2	13.4
Chlamydia	210.6	179.9	354.6	438.0
Gonorrhea	27.0	18.1	40.7	100.8
Early Syphilis	3.6	2.4	7.4	6.8
E. coli	1.1	2.1	0.6	1.2
Lyme Disease	19.6	54.0	6.8	14.1
Salmonellosis	11.8	15.1	9.7	12.7
Tuberculosis	4.8	3.0	3.3	2.2

Source: Virginia Department of Health, 2014.

Fairfax County has higher HIV, Lyme disease, and tuberculosis incidence rates than Virginia. Loudoun County has a higher incidence of E. coli, Lyme disease and salmonella compared to Virginia and other counties, and a higher than average rate of tuberculosis. Syphilis and tuberculosis rates have been above average in Prince William County.

Exhibit 26: Maternal and Child Health Indicators, 2013

Measure	Fairfax County	Loudoun County	Prince William County	Virginia
Birth Rate (per 1,000 population)	13.1	14.3	15.5	12.3
Teen Pregnancy Rate (age 10-19)	6.1	4.6	12.9	14.4
< 15 years	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.3
15-17 years	5.9	3.3	9.8	11.3
18-19 years	22.9	25.7	60.1	50.4
Low Weight Births (%)	7.2	5.9	6.8	8.0
First Trimester Care (%)	80.3	88.4	80.0	82.9
Non-Marital Births (%)	21.1	15.7	29.7	34.6
Infant Mortality Rate	4.0	4.4	6.1	6.2

Source: Virginia Department of Health, 2013.

Exhibit 26 indicates that first trimester care may be problematic for some women in Fairfax and Prince William counties. The data also indicate that births among 18 – 19 year olds in Prince William County was higher than the Virginia average.

Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's (CDC) Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) gathers data through a telephone survey regarding health risk behaviors, healthcare access, and preventive health measures. Data are collected for the entire United

States. Analysis of BRFSS data can identify local health issues, trends and health disparities, and can enable county, state, or nation-wide comparisons.

BRFSS data were assessed for Fairfax, Loudoun, and Prince William Counties and compared with Virginia averages. Only one indicator was found to be unfavorable on a statistically significant basis: binge drinking in Fairfax County.

In addition to asking questions about respondent health, the BRFSS gathers certain demographic data such as respondent age, education level achieved, household income, gender, and race/ethnicity. Unfortunately, BRFSS data available Fairfax, Loudoun, and Prince William Counties are based on sample sizes too small to analyze local responses by demographic cohort. BRFSS data for these demographic cohorts are available for the entire Commonwealth, and those data show the following:

• Results by age range:

- According to the Virginia BRFSS data, uninsurance rates tend to fall as individuals age. Rates are lowest for Medicare-eligible individuals (65 years of age and older).
- o The prevalence of chronic disease tends to increase as individuals age.
- Across age groups, 14 to 20 percent of Virginians have been told they have some form of depression. Rates overall average 16 percent, and are highest for those between 44 and 65 years of age.
- Smoking is most prevalent for those aged 25 to 34 years. Inability to see a doctor due to cost also is most prevalent within this group.
- o Binge drinking rates are highest for those aged 18 to 24 years and appear to decline with age.
- Results by level of educational achievement: uninsurance rates, chronic disease
 prevalence, smoking rates, depression rates, and the percentage of respondents unable to
 see a doctor due to cost are highest within cohorts with the lowest levels of educational
 achievement (those without high school diplomas and with no post-high school
 education).
- Results by level of household income:
 - Not surprisingly, households with the lowest incomes also have the highest rates
 of uninsurance, chronic disease, depression, smoking, and problems seeing a
 doctor due to cost.
 - o Binge drinking rates are highest in households with the highest incomes.

- Results by gender: compared to males, females report higher levels of disability (e.g., difficulty walking or climbing stairs), higher cancer rates, and higher rates of depression. Males report slightly higher rates of angina or coronary heart disease than females.
- Results by race/ethnicity:
 - o BRFSS data indicate that Hispanics have the highest uninsurance rate and are least able to see a doctor due to cost.
 - O Disabilities appear to be most prevalent within Virginia's Black populations (e.g., difficulties with activities of daily living). Black individuals also appear to have the highest rates of smoking, high blood pressure, asthma, and diabetes.
 - o Binge drinking appears to be most prevalent within White populations.

Youth Risk Behavior Data

Fairfax County surveyed youth in public schools. The surveys asked questions similar to those raised by the CDC's Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance System (YRBSS).

Exhibit 27 presents data for Fairfax, also with comparisons to Virginia and the U.S. Cells are shaded if the value is at all worse than Virginia averages.

Exhibit 27: Fairfax YRBSS Data

		Overall	
Measure	Fairfax	Virginia	U.S.
Bullying			
Prevalence of Having Been Cyberbullied in the Past Year	15.8%	14.5%	14.8%
Drug/Alcohol Use			
Lifetime Prevalence of Alcohol Use	41.1%	55.3%	66.2%
Lifetime Prevalence of Marijuana Use	21.0%	32.1%	40.7%
Lifetime Prevalence of Smoking Cigarettes	17.5%	35.5%	41.1%
Past Month Prevalence of Alcohol Use	19.3%	27.3%	34.9%
Past Month Prevalence of Smoking Cigarettes	5.3%	11.1%	15.7%
Percentage of Students Reporting First Use of Alcohol Before Age 13	12.3%	18.2%	18.6%
Percentage of Students Reporting First Use of Cigarettes Before Age 13	5.9%	7.9%	9.3%
Percentage of Students Reporting First Use of Marijuana Before Age 13	2.2%	7.5%	8.6%
Physical and Mental Health		_	
Percentage of Students Who Felt Sad or Hopeless in the Past Year	29.6%	25.7%	29.9%
Prevalence of Drinking Soda or Pop At Least One Time Per Day in the Past Week	13.0%	21.7%	27.0%
Prevalence of Physical Activity on Five or More Days in the Past Week	39.9%	44.3%	47.3%

Source: Fairfax County, 2015.

The Fairfax data indicate a higher prevalence of depression and lower rates of physical activity compared to Virginia averages. The occurrence of cyberbullying is also higher than in Virginia.

Additional Fairfax data indicate that depression and physical inactivity rates are higher for 12th grade students than for 8th and 10th graders. These issues also are more prevalent within racial and ethnic minorities (Black/African American, Asian, and Hispanic students). Female students in Fairfax County are much more likely than male students to report feeling so sad or hopeless (for two or more weeks in a row in the past year) that they stopped doing some usual activities.

Ambulatory Care Sensitive Conditions

This section examines the frequency of discharges for Ambulatory Care Sensitive Conditions (ACSCs, frequently referred to as Prevention Quality Indicators or PQIs) throughout the community.

ACSCs are eighteen health "conditions for which good outpatient care can potentially prevent the need for hospitalization or for which early intervention can prevent complications or more severe disease." As such, rates of hospitalization for these conditions can "provide insight into the quality of the health care system outside of the hospital," including the accessibility and utilization of primary care, preventive care and health education. Among these conditions are: diabetes, perforated appendixes, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), hypertension, congestive heart failure, dehydration, bacterial pneumonia, urinary tract infection, and asthma.

Disproportionately high rates of discharges for ACSC indicate potential problems with the availability or accessibility of ambulatory care and preventive services and can suggest areas for improvement in the health care system and ways to improve outcomes. In Exhibits 28 and 29, cells are shaded if the value is at all worse than Virginia averages, with darker shading indicating the value is more than 25% worse than Virginia.

Exhibit 28 provides risk adjusted, 2012 PQI rates (per 100,000 persons) for Fairfax, Loudoun, and Prince William Counties – with comparisons to Virginia averages.

⁸Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) Prevention Quality Indicators.

Exhibit 28: PQI (ACSC) Risk Adjusted Rates per 100,000, 2012

Prevention Quality Indicators Risk Adjusted Rate per 100,000	Fairfax	Loudoun	Prince William	
Population	County	County	County	Virginia
Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease or Asthma in Older Adults	173.2	175.7	289.5	406.8
Heart failure admission rate	159.0	215.3	290.4	322.2
Percutaneous coronary angioplasty rate	116.1	185.2	209.7	274.3
Laminectomy rate	167.3	178.6	222.4	239.0
Bacterial pneumonia admission rate	118.1	186.5	162.4	227.2
Urinary tract infection admission rate	124.5	126.3	130.5	159.6
Hysterectomy rate	63.8	43.3	109.9	127.2
Dehydration admission rate	61.1	87.7	86.1	112.2
Coronary artery bypass graft rate	70.1	63.8	92.5	108.0
Diabetes long-term complication admission rate	41.9	47.5	70.1	100.6
Diabetes short-term complication admission rate	26.5	34.2	46.4	74.1
Hypertension admission rate	22.7	27.4	28.0	50.9
Asthma in Younger Adults	16.8	13.9	34.1	44.3
Rate of lower-extremity amputation among patients with diabetes	5.6	6.6	11.5	16.3
Uncontrolled diabetes admission rate	4.0	2.6	6.2	12.6
Angina without procedure admission rate	2.8	3.3	4.2	8.3
Low birth weight rate	5.7	4.5	5.5	6.5

Source: Virginia Department of Health, 2013.

The rates of admissions for ACSC were below Virginia averages for all PQI conditions.

Exhibit 29 provides 2014 PQI data for Fairfax, Loudoun, and other areas in Northern Virginia. An additional analysis of PQI rates for the twenty lowest-income ZIP codes across the community served by Inova is also provided. Cells are highlighted if rates are above the average for Northern Virginia, with dark shading if rates are 50 percent or more above average.

Exhibit 29: Unadjusted PQI (ACSC) Rates per 100,000, 2014

Condition	Alexandria City	Arlington County	Fairfax County	Loudoun County	Prince William County	Northern Virginia	Low Income ZIP Codes
COPD in Older Adults	312.5	166.2	187.2	185.6	274.9	208.7	288.2
Heart Failure	203.1	95.1	178.3	167.9	197.0	173.0	206.9
Bacterial Pneumonia	117.7	64.7	103.3	120.4	103.7	102.6	108.6
Urinary Tract Infection	148.4	67.4	108.6	107.8	103.1	105.9	119.8
Dehydration	72.1	34.2	49.8	61.5	52.2	51.7	56.8
Long-term Diabetes Complications	70.5	36.9	49.4	57.7	70.1	54.5	69.4
Short-term Diabetes Complications	57.2	30.4	37.6	38.3	60.0	42.4	61.6
Hypertension	44.8	10.9	30.0	16.4	23.5	25.9	39.9
Perforated Appendix	24.9	11.4	19.8	17.3	16.6	18.3	22.1
Asthma in Younger Adults	20.3	11.2	18.0	18.6	29.2	19.4	22.0
Lower-Extremity Amputation due to Diabetes	9.1	2.7	6.8	4.6	6.8	6.2	8.8
Uncontrolled Diabetes	9.9	3.3	2.8	2.5	4.9	3.7	5.3
Angina	4.1	0.5	3.3	2.5	4.2	3.1	2.8

Source: Analysis of 2014 discharge data using AHRQ software, 2016.

In **Exhibit 29**, Prince William County's PQI rates are above average for most conditions and are particularly high for asthma in younger adults. Fairfax County and Loudoun County rates are

generally around the region's average. Rates are higher for each condition except Angina within the lowest-income ZIP codes.

Exhibit 30 provides the number of PQI cases for each Inova hospital.

Exhibit 30: PQI Cases by Inova Hospital, 2014

Condition	Inova Alexandria Hospital	Inova Fairfax Hospital	Inova Fair Oaks Hospital	Inova Loudoun Hospital	Inova Mount Vernon Hospital
COPD in Older Adults	403	370	182	209	185
Heart Failure	457	785	246	317	266
Bacterial Pneumonia	260	376	175	234	118
Urinary Tract Infection	337	387	199	203	120
Dehydration	178	149	88	115	72
Long-term Diabetes Complications	182	258	87	88	48
Short-term Diabetes Complications	127	114	74	77	58
Hypertension	143	95	36	35	60
Perforated Appendix	40	90	41	28	16
Asthma in Younger Adults	25	18	9	9	10
Lower-Extremity Amputation due to Diabetes	15	39	11	6	1
Uncontrolled Diabetes	17	17	3	5	3
Angina	11	9	7	5	6
Low Birth Weight	185	492	138	93	-
PQI Discharges	2,380	3,199	1,296	1,424	963
Total Discharges	19,356	50,880	16,524	13,811	8,626
PQI / Total Discharges	12.3%	6.3%	7.8%	10.3%	11.2%

Source: Analysis of 2014 discharge data using AHRQ software, 2016.

About 8 percent of Inova Fair Oaks Hospital's discharges are for PQI conditions – the second lowest proportion within Inova. These cases represent 12 percent of discharges for Inova Alexandria Hospital, 11 percent for Inova Mount Vernon Hospital, 10 percent for Inova Loudoun Hospital, and 6 percent for Inova Fairfax Medical Campus.

Community Need IndexTM and Food Deserts

Dignity Health Community Need Index

Dignity Health, a California-based hospital system, developed and has made widely available for public use a *Community Need Index*TM that measures barriers to health care access by county/city and ZIP code. The index is based on five social and economic indicators:

- The percentage of elders, children, and single parents living in poverty;
- The percentage of adults over the age of 25 with limited English proficiency, and the percentage of the population that is non-White;
- The percentage of the population without a high school diploma;
- The percentage of uninsured and unemployed residents; and
- The percentage of the population renting houses.

The *Community Need Index*TM calculates a score for each ZIP code based on these indicators. Scores range from "Lowest Need" (1.0-1.7) to "Highest Need" (4.2-5.0).

Exhibit 31 presents the *Community Need Index*TM (CNI) score of ZIP codes in the community.

Exhibit 31: Community Need IndexTM Score by ZIP Code, 2015

ZIP Code	County	Community	CNI Score
22150	Fairfax	Springfield	4.0
22044	Fairfax	East Fairfax 29/50 Corridor	4.0
20110	Manassas City	Manassas West	3.8
22042	Fairfax	East Fairfax 29/50 Corridor	3.6
20109	Prince William	Manassas West	3.4
20111	Prince William	Manassas East	3.2
20170	Fairfax	Reston/Herndon	3.0
22031	Fairfax	East Fairfax 29/50 Corridor	3.0
20121	Fairfax	Centreville	2.8
20191	Fairfax	Reston/Herndon	2.8
22030	Fairfax	Fairfax City	2.8
22033	Fairfax	Oakton/Fair Lakes/South Herndon	2.8
20164	Loudoun	Sterling/Dulles	2.8
20166	Loudoun	Sterling/Dulles	2.8
20171	Fairfax	Oakton/Fair Lakes/South Herndon	2.6
20190	Fairfax	Reston/Herndon	2.6
20120	Fairfax	Centreville	2.4
20151	Fairfax	Chantilly	2.4
22027	Fairfax	Vienna	2.4
22180	Fairfax	Vienna	2.4
22181	Fairfax	Vienna	2.4
20147	Loudoun	Ashburn/Arcola	2.4
20194	Fairfax	Reston/Herndon	2.2
22015	Fairfax	GMU/Burke	2.0
22152	Fairfax	Springfield	2.0
20165	Loudoun	Sterling/Dulles	2.0
20148	Loudoun	Ashburn/Arcola	2.0
20105	Loudoun	South Riding/Aldie	1.8
20152	Loudoun	South Riding/Aldie	1.8
20136	Prince William	Gainesville/Haymarket/Bull Run	1.8
20155	Prince William	Gainesville/Haymarket/Bull Run	1.8
22153	Fairfax	Springfield	1.8
22182	Fairfax	Vienna	1.8
20124	Fairfax	Clifton/Fairfax Station	1.6
22032	Fairfax	GMU/Burke	1.6
22039	Fairfax	Clifton/Fairfax Station	1.6
22124	Fairfax	Oakton/Fair Lakes/South Herndon	1.6
20143	Prince William	Gainesville/Haymarket/Bull Run	1.6
20169	Prince William	Gainesville/Haymarket/Bull Run	1.6
20112	Prince William	Manassas East	1.6
20181	Prince William	Gainesville/Haymarket/Bull Run	1.4
IFOH Commu	ınity Average		2.5
Fairfax Count	y Average		2.7
Loudoun Cou	inty Average		2.2
	n County Averag		2.6

Source: Dignity Health, 2015.

Exhibit 32 presents these data in a community map format.




Exhibit 32: Community Need Index, 2015

Source: Microsoft MapPoint and Dignity Health, 2015.

The CNI indicates that the highest need areas are ZIP codes 22150 and 22044 in Fairfax County. These ZIP codes each have a 2015 CNI score of 4.0 or higher.

Food Deserts

The U.S. Department of Agriculture's Economic Research Service estimates the number of people in each census tract that live in a "food desert," defined as low-income areas more than one mile from a supermarket or large grocery store in urban areas and more than 10 miles from a supermarket or large grocery store in rural areas. Many government-led initiatives aim to increase the availability of nutritious and affordable foods to people living in these food deserts.

Exhibit 33 illustrates the location of food deserts in the community.

Food Deserts Sterling^D 20164 Potomac 20814 Loud Bethesda Loudoun 22067 2081 Reston McLean Chantily Oakton 22031 Arlington Fairfax city Fairfax Annandale 22003 ntreville **Fairfax** Alexa Springfield Prince West Springfield 2215 William Manassas Woodbridge Dale City Prince

Exhibit 33: Food Deserts

Source: Microsoft MapPoint and U.S. Department of Agriculture, 2015.

The food deserts in the community are located in Manassas West.

Medically Underserved Areas and Populations

Medically Underserved Areas and Populations (MUA/Ps) are designated by the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) based on an "Index of Medical Underservice." The index includes the following variables: ratio of primary medical care physicians per 1,000 population, infant mortality rate, percentage of the population with incomes below the poverty level, and percentage of the population age 65 or over. Areas with a score of 62 or less are considered "medically underserved."

Populations receiving MUP designation include groups within a geographic area with economic barriers or cultural and/or linguistic access barriers to receiving primary care. If a population group does not qualify for MUP status based on the IMU score, Public Law 99-280 allows MUP designation if "unusual local conditions which are a barrier to access to or the availability of personal health services exist and are documented, and if such a designation is recommended by the chief executive officer and local officials of the state where the requested population resides." ¹⁰

There are multiple census tracts within the hospital's community that have been designated as areas where Medically Underserved Populations are present (**Exhibit 34**). These areas are located around the City of Manassas.

51

-

⁹ Heath Resources and Services Administration. See http://www.hrsa.gov/shortage/mua/index.html




Exhibit 34: Medically Underserved Areas and Populations

Source: HRSA Data Warehouse, 2015.

Description of Other Facilities and Resources within the Community

Federally Qualified Health Centers

Federally Qualified Health Centers (FQHCs) are established to promote access to ambulatory care in areas designated as "medically underserved." These centers receive enhanced reimbursement for Medicaid and Medicare services and most also receive federal grant funds under Section 330 of the Public Health Service Act. All centers provide comprehensive and integrated primary, dental, and behavioral health services to primarily low income, uninsured, or Medicaid patients. There currently are three FQHC organizations operating multiple sites in Northern Virginia (**Exhibit 35**).

Exhibit 35: Federally Qualified Health Centers

Facility	County	ZIP Code	Address
Neighborhood Health King Street Dental	Alexandria City	22302	4480 King St
Neighborhood Health at the Casey Clinic	Alexandria City	22304	1200 N Howard St
Neighborhood Health at Alexandria CSB	Alexandria City	22314	720 N Saint Asaph St
Neighborhood Health at 2 East	Alexandria City	22305	2 E Glebe Rd
Neighborhood Health at the WOW Bus	Alexandria City	22305	2 E Glebe Rd
Neighborhood Health at Richmond Highway	Fairfax	22306	6677 Richmond Hwy
Loudoun Community Health Center- Healthworks of Northern Virginia	Loudoun	20176	163 Fort Evans Rd Ne
Loudoun Community Health Center- Healthworks of Northern Virginia	Fairfax	20170	1141 Elden St Ste
Greater Prince William Community Health Center- Dumfries	Prince William	22026	17739 Main St
Greater Prince William Community Health Center- Ridgewood	Prince William	22192	4379 Ridgewood Center Dr
Greater Prince William Community Health Center- Evergreen Terrace	City of Manassas	20110	9705 Liberia Ave

Source: Health Resources and Services Administration, 2016.

Other Clinics for Lower-Income Individuals

In addition to the FQHCs, there are other clinics in the area that serve lower-income individuals. These include the Arlington Free Clinic (Arlington, VA), the Culmore Clinic, the Loudoun Free Clinic (Leesburg, VA), and three Community Health Care Network (CHCN) sites currently operated by Fairfax County (Merrifield – ZIP code 22031, South County – ZIP code 22309, and North County – ZIP code 20190). Both the Merrifield and North County CHCN sites are located in the community served by Inova Fair Oaks Hospital.

In addition to these resources, Inova operates several InovaCares Clinic sites across Northern Virginia. The Health Departments also provide an array of services at locations throughout the community.

Hospitals

Exhibit 36 presents information on hospital facilities that operate in the community.

Exhibit 36: Hospitals

Facilty	Facility Type	Number of Beds	ZIP Code	City
Dominion Hospital	Psychiatric	100	22044	Falls Church
Fairfax Surgical Center	Ambulatory Surgical	-	22030	Fairfax
Haymarket Medical Center	Acute	60	20169	Haymarket
HealthSouth Rehab Hospital of Northern Virginia	Rehabilitation	55	20105	Aldie
Inova Alexandria Hospital	Acute	318	22304	Alexandria
Inova Fair Oaks Hospital	Acute	182	22033	Fairfax
Inova Fairfax Hospital	Acute	833	22042	Falls Church
Inova Loudoun Ambulatory Surgery Center	Ambulatory Surgical	-	20176	Leesburg
Inova Loudoun Hospital	Acute	183	20176	Leesburg
Inova Mount Vernon Hospital	Acute	237	22306	Alexandria
Inova Surgery Center at Franconia-Springfield	Ambulatory Surgical	-	22310	Alexandria
Kaiser Permanente Tysons Corner Surgey Center	Ambulatory Surgical	-	22102	McLean
North Spring Behavioral Healthcare	Psychiatric	-	20176	Leesburg
Northern Virginia Eye Surgery Center, LLC	Ambulatory Surgical	-	22031	Fairfax
Northern Virginia Surgery Center	Ambulatory Surgical	-	22033	Fairfax
Novant Health Prince William Medical Center	Acute	130	20110	Manassas
Prince William Ambulatory Surgery Center	Ambulatory Surgical	-	20110	Manassas
Reston Hospital Center	Acute	187	20190	Reston
Reston Surgery Center	Ambulatory Surgical	-	20190	Reston
Sentara Northern Virginia Medical Center	Acute	183	22191	Woodbridge
Skin Cancer Outpatient Surgical Hospital	Ambulatory Surgical	-	22182	Vienna
Virginia Hospital Center	Acute	342	22205	Arlington

Source: Virginia Health Information, 2016.

Other Community Resources

There is a wide range of agencies, coalitions, and organizations available in the region served by Inova Fair Oaks Hospital. 2-1-1 Virginia maintains a large database to help refer individuals in need to health and human services in the Commonwealth. This is a service of the Virginia Department of Social Services and is provided in partnership with the Council of Community Services, The Planning Council, and United Way chapters in the Commonwealth.

Exhibit 37 identifies the number of agencies with information available at 2-1-1 Virginia, by city and county and by type of service provided.

Exhibit 37: Other Community Resources

Category	Fairfax County	Loudoun County	Prince William County	
Health Care	67	62	69	
Food	23	21	21	
Housing	16	14	15	
Mental Health	226	223	226	
Substance Abuse Treatment	19	18	19	
Assisted Living	118	114	110	
Dental Care	27	24	29	
Legal Advice/Representation	47	46	43	
Financial Aid	38	35	36	
Environment	24	25	26	

Source: 2-1-1 Virginia.

Additional information about these resources is available at: http://211virginia.org/consite/index.php

Findings of Other Community Health Needs Assessments

Ten other needs assessments and health reports relevant to the Inova Fair Oaks Hospital community were also reviewed. These reports are as follows:

- Virginia Department of Health's Virginia Health Equity Report
- Northern Virginia Health Foundation's *How Healthy is Northern Virginia?*
- NoVAHealthFORCE's The State of the Healthcare Workforce of Northern Virginia
- Virginia Hospital Center's Community Health Needs Assessment
- Fairfax County's Community Health Improvement Plan
- Novant Health's Prince William Medical Center Community Health Needs Assessment
- Prince William Coalition for Human Services' Community Health Needs Assessment
- Sentara Northern Virginia Medical Center's Community Health Needs Assessment
- Loudoun County's Community Health Status Assessment
- Loudoun County's Community Health Improvement Plan

Virginia Health Equity Report

In 2012, the Virginia Department of Health published the *Virginia Health Equity Report* to assess the presence of health disparities and health equity issues across the Commonwealth.

Key findings of the report include the following:

- Virginia's fastest growing population is Hispanic, rising 47.8 percent from 2000-2009
- Blacks, Hispanics, and American Indians are disproportionally represented within the 13 percent of Virginians (older than 25) who have not earned a high school diploma

- Compared to Whites, Blacks were 2.4 times more likely to live in poverty, Hispanics were 1.9 times more likely, and American Indians 3 times more likely
- All other racial groups are more likely to be uninsured than Whites, with Hispanics the most likely to be uninsured (2.4 times more likely than Whites)
- Blacks and Hispanics were significantly more likely to say their neighborhood was unsafe compared to Whites, and almost twice as many respondents who reported their neighborhood as unsafe had poor health status (20.3 vs. 11.7 percent)
- 24.6 percent of Blacks reported experiences of perceived racial discrimination, nearly 5 times higher than rates among Whites
- Those who reported experiences of racial discrimination were more than twice as likely to be unhealthy than those who did not and almost 3 times more likely to report mentally unhealthy days
- As well as having poorer health, socioeconomically disadvantaged and racial/ethnic minority populations appear to have higher death rates and shorter life expectancy
- For all 14 leading causes of death in Virginia, those with the lowest education levels have higher rates of death than those with the highest levels of educational achievement
- Black males are expected to live 5 years shorter than Whites and Black females 3 years shorter than White females
- Heart disease, cancer, and cerebrovascular disease/stroke account for two-thirds of all deaths for Whites and Blacks, with mortality rates for Blacks 30 percent greater for these causes than rates for Whites
- Racial inequities are more concentrated in metropolitan areas
- The annual direct costs of health inequities among disadvantaged populations account for billions of dollars
- Black Virginians are 3.7 times more likely to live in a low Health Opportunity Index (HOI) area than Whites; Whites are 4.2 times more likely to live in a high HOI area
- Hispanics are more likely to live in low HOI areas and less likely in high HOI areas
- These HOI variances are even more pronounced in urban areas
- While Northern Virginia and Fairfax are generally defined as high HOI areas, multiple tracts of low health opportunity can be found
- The infant mortality rate is 7.2 per 1,000 live births in Virginia, but 4.5 for Whites and 12.9 for Blacks
- Virginians with the least educational attainment have a death rate 2.7 times higher than those with more than 12 years of education (1.3 times higher than those with 12 years)

Northern Virginia Health Foundation

The Northern Virginia Health Foundation published its report, *How Healthy is Northern Virginia?* which contains community health indicators for the region.

Findings include the following:

- 9 of the 10 regions of Northern Virginia are ranked in the top 16 in health outcomes for all Virginia cities and counties. However, the City of Fairfax is an outlier at 55
- While based on a small sample size, the City of Fairfax has a mortality ranking of 97 due to a relatively high premature death rate
- Northern Virginia had higher rates of births with late prenatal care than Virginia

- Compared to the Commonwealth as a whole, cancer rates are generally lower in Northern Virginia, with exceptions being breast cancer in the Fairfax Health District and melanoma in the Loudoun Health District
- Rates of HIV diagnosis were higher in Alexandria (27.7 per 100,000) and Arlington (17.1) than Virginia (11.3); and tuberculosis rates were comparatively high throughout the region
- The City of Manassas (1,060.8) and City of Fairfax (876.1) had higher rates of behavioral health discharges than Virginia (786.8)
- 20 percent of adults in the region are at risk for binge drinking, 2 percent higher than the state average
- Over 50 percent of K-12 students in the cities of Alexandria, Manassas, and Manassas Park are eligible for free or reduced lunch
- Approximately 175,000 Northern Virginians live in 49 census tracts that are ranked in the bottom 20 percent statewide for Health Opportunity Index

The State of the Health Care Workforce in Northern Virginia

This report, published in 2014 by NoVAHealthFORCE, aimed to identify the shift that had occurred in the regional health care landscape and the job patterns that accompanied this shift. The report covered Arlington, Fairfax, Prince William and Loudoun Counties and the Cities of Alexandria, Fairfax, Falls Church, Manassas and Manassas Park.

- While a projected shortage of nurses was expected to last through 2020, employers report a sufficient supply of registered nursing candidates
- The area's population has grown 22% in the past decade; minorities currently comprise 31% of population a statistic expected to rise to 41% by 2020
- Population and employment opportunities are projected to grow most rapidly in Prince William and Loudoun counties
- Health care job growth is expected particularly in Prince William and Loudoun counties as the population aged 65 plus is expected to increase by 42 percent; an estimated 5,600 new jobs will be needed

Virginia Hospital Center CHNA

This community health assessment, published in 2014, assessed the Virginia Hospital Center's community, which includes 28 ZIP codes in Arlington and Fairfax Counties and the City of Alexandria. A survey of key informants was conducted to identify the most important community health concerns and gaps.

- Most important community health concerns, by survey response, are as follows: mental health conditions (other than depression) (81%), depression (77%), adult obesity (69%), diabetes (65%), substance abuse-illegal drugs (65%), alcohol use (62%), dental care (62%), childhood obesity (58%), substance abuse- prescription drugs (58%)
- Important community service gaps (by survey response rate): behavioral health services (88%), health care services for uninsured and underinsured (68%), aging services (56%), dental care (56%), and health care insurance coverage (56%)
- Age 65+ population expected to grow 17% from 2013-2018 and Hispanic population expected to grow 11%

- Births without prenatal care in the first 13 weeks of pregnancy comprise 17% of all live births in region, compared to 13% across Virginia
- Arlington (4.1), Fairfax (4.8), and Alexandria (4.4) all have lower five-year average infant mortality rate per 1,000 live births than Virginia (6.7)
- 19% of area at risk for binge drinking; 17% are smokers
- 36% have high cholesterol and 29% have high blood pressure
- 22% have arthritis, 9% have asthma, and 8% have diabetes
- In describing health status, 17% of respondents stated they had "fair or poor" health; additionally, 17% of population responded that they are limited in activities because of physical, mental, or emotional problems
- 15% of adults and 6% of children in the area are uninsured

Fairfax County Community Health Improvement Plan, 2013-2018

This report was published in 2013 as a product of the Fairfax County Health Department and the Partnership for a Healthier Fairfax, a diverse coalition of citizens and business organizations.

The priority issues of the report were as follows:

- Improve the community environment to promote good health
 - Identified a need for health considerations in urban planning, development, and transportation, as well as identifying the environmental impacts and health impacts that urbanization bring
- Increase opportunities for physical health to promote active living
 - o The rising rates of obesity among youth and adults necessitates this goal
- Make healthy food affordable and accessible
- Reduce tobacco use and exposure to secondhand smoke
- Expand the health workforce to meet the needs of the community
 - o The current health workforce is aging
 - o There is also an increasing demand for primary and specialty care providers
 - o The community believes there is a lack of racial and ethnic diversity among its providers, making it difficult for certain groups to find adequate care
- Improve access and quality of health care services
 - There are many challenges in navigating the complex system of services, so more information is needed
- Integrate public health data to improve monitoring, analysis, reporting and evaluation of community health
 - Current data is abundant, but fragmented, necessitating more coordination and monitoring of health disparities and outcomes in the community

Prince William Medical Center Community Health Needs Assessment

Novant Health is a not-for-profit health system that consists of 15 hospitals across North Carolina, Virginia, and South Carolina. Their Prince William Medical Center is an acute care hospital, established in 1964, that has been part of Novant Health since 2009. The service area for the hospital includes Prince William County, Manassas City, Manassas Park City, and parts of Fauquier County.

This 2013 report concluded the following:

- The Greater Prince William area has twice as many foreign-born residents who speak another language than English and three times as many people of Hispanic origin as Virginia.
- The area has a higher percentage of children under the age of 18 and a lower percentage of people over 65 than the state.
- In 2012, the top reasons for emergency department hospitalizations at the hospital were as follows:
 - o Pneumonia (296 cases)
 - o Alcohol withdrawal (240 cases)
 - o Septicemia (180 cases)
 - o Urinary tract infection (143 cases)
 - o Acute kidney failure (141 cases)
 - o Episodic mood disorders (141 cases)
- The prioritized health needs of the Greater Prince William area were as follows (in order, 1 through 10):
 - Cancer
 - Heart disease
 - Unintentional injury
 - o Brain disease
 - o Chronic lower respiratory disease
 - o Diabetes mellitus
 - o Septicemia
 - Nephritis and nephrosis
 - o Influenza and pneumonia
 - Suicide
- The top ten needs of Fauquier County are:
 - Adult obesity
 - Mental illness
 - Diabetes
 - Childhood obesity
 - Alcohol use
 - Heart disease and stroke
 - Substance abuse (illegal drugs)
 - o Dental care and oral health
 - Substance abuse (prescription drugs)
 - o Alzheimer's disease

Prince William Coalition for Human Services Community Health Needs Assessment

This 2013 report focused on the Greater Prince William area, including Prince William County and the cities of Manassas and Manassas Park.

The report concluded:

• While county rankings are in top 15, rankings in general dropped since the last Prince William Needs Report published (they attribute this to economic recession, possibly, and the aging and increasingly diverse population)

- High school graduation rate fell from 75 to 88% between 2011-12 for Prince William County
- Diabetes death rate (per 1,000) increased from 12.2 to 18.3 from 2010-11
- Still very low health literacy, which leads to a lot of treatment for preventable illnesses and confusion about next steps after seeing a physician
- Prince William has relatively high percent of uninsured adults, and additional issues with access to care caused by lack of health literacy, transportation needs, and fewer primary care physicians
- There are long waiting lists for mental health services, including counseling, care coordination, case management, and treatment of serious mental illnesses
- Ongoing issues with support services, such as housing, employment, and transportation exist for those with disabilities
- From FY2010 to FY2012, the number of people with intellectual disabilities on the waiting list for day support services grew from 22 to 62
- The youth population increases by over 2,000 people a year and many are without parental guidance after school, so developing and sustaining youth activities is needed
- Physical space for activities is limited
- There is a deficit of 8,220 housing units for those who make less than \$27,770 annually
- The percent of children receiving free/reduced lunch has been increasing (32% in 2008, 37% in 2012)
- Crime statistics have been decreasing steadily from 2005 to 2012

Sentara Northern Virginia Medical Center Community Health Needs Assessment The 2013 needs assessment by Sentara Northern Virginia examined parts of Fairfax County, Prince William County, and Stafford County and found the following:

- The most important (more than 50% respondents mention) community health concerns identified by survey respondents were: adult obesity (78%), childhood obesity (70%), diabetes (69%), high blood pressure (64%), mental health conditions other than depression (62%), dental care/oral health (56%), depression (52%), and cancer (49%)
- The most important community service gaps identified by survey respondents were: behavioral health services (94%), health care services for uninsured/underinsured (66%), dental (58%), homeless services (51%), and aging services (51%)
- Fairfax County had an infant mortality rate of 4.9 per 1,000 and Prince William a rate of 6.3 in 2011
- Estimated health risk factors for adults in the study area in 2012:
 - o 21% of study region smokers and 23% at risk for binge drinking
 - o 35% had high cholesterol and 28% high blood pressure
 - o 10% had asthma, 9% diabetes, and 21% arthritis
 - o 16% of the population reported their health status as fair or poor
- 17% of adults and 7% of children were uninsured

Loudoun County Community Health Status Assessment, 2013

Using the Mobilizing for Action through Planning and Partnerships (MAPP) system, Loudoun County completed a Community Health Status Assessment (CHSA) to evaluate the health of its residents to plan strategies for the future.

Key elements of the report included:

- Seven themes were identified in the report
 - Access to Care: while Loudoun county does comparably well in insurance rate, still over 26,000 people are without insurance. High costs and availability of health care are also a concern, particularly among seniors and low-income populations.
 - o Timely Use of Preventive Services: Only 76% of respondents had visited a dentist, 65% had a routine checkup and 37% had a flu shot in past year.
 - Children's Health, Wellness and Safety: 30% of Loudoun's population is under
 17. Bullying, drug use, gang involvement, and childhood obesity are all concerns for this population.
 - Clean and Healthy Environment: Air contaminants and ozone levels are of concern. Lyme disease and access to outdoor space for exercise and fresh air are also important to address.
 - Substance Abuse: 15% of Loudoun County residents are binge drinkers and 9% are current smokers. Drug and alcohol use are a top concern within the community.
 - o Affordable Housing: Black respondents, those living alone, uninsured populations, and those with an income of less than \$50,000 all identified affordable housing as a concern.
 - Maximizing Partnerships: Respondents noted a desire to bring local entities together to solve health problems, with ideas that are well-educated, technology focused, and share a number of health priorities.
- Loudoun is one of the faster growing counties in the country, with its 21 percent growth rate from 2007-2012 more than triple the Virginia average
- There is a growing subpopulation of low income (mainly immigrant) residents with limited access to care
- Travel and traffic (transportation) remain a large challenge in the community, as well as its impact on the environment
- Lyme disease is still an issue due to Loudoun's natural habitat
- Tobacco and alcohol use remain a challenge, particularly among youth
- In the CTSA assessment, Lyme disease, cost of healthcare and availability of healthcare were principal concerns; bullying, drug use and gang involvement were additional, behavioral concerns
- Hispanic and black respondents were more likely not to have a college degree, to be uninsured, and to have an income under \$50,000
- Additional food and water contamination concern was identified in the assessment
- Ranked as the number one place in the country to find a job, with growth rate of 24.6 percent between 2007-2011
- 8.4 percent of the population under age 65 is uninsured, representing 26,334 residents

- Most of the county's health services are located in the east, resulting in concerns about lack of transportation for those in Western Loudoun County
- From 2009 to 2012, arrests decreased from 6,380 to 5,587
- 15 percent of residents were not able to see a doctor due to cost
- Loudoun's mortality rates for the ten leading causes of death were lower than Virginia overall
- Lyme disease went from 109 cases in 2006 to 293 in 2007

Loudoun County Community Health Improvement Plan (CHIP)

This 2015 report was a joint publication of the Loudoun County Health Department and the Loudoun Health Council.

Key elements of this report included:

- Demographics
 - o Population more than doubled from 2000-2014
 - o As of 2010, 19.9% of the population was born outside the United States
 - o Median household income is \$116,848 and 3.4% of population is under poverty level
- The Loudoun Health Council identified seven themes to cover areas of needed improvement, from which four priority issues were derived:
 - Access to care
 - o Timely use of preventive services
 - o Children's health, wellness and safety
 - Clean and healthy environment
 - Substance abuse
 - Affordable housing
 - Maximizing partnerships
- Issue 1: Increasing access to and timely utilization of health care services
 - Outcome objectives:
 - Increase awareness of available health insurance options, of recommended schedules for preventive health care services, and of available health care resource options and services
 - Impact objectives:
 - Improved immunization coverage rates
 - Reduced number of maternity patients who deliver without prenatal care
 - Increased number of available dental slots for low income residents
 - Improved access to mental health services
- Issue 2: Ensuring a safe, healthy, and accessible environment
 - Outcome objectives:
 - Promote use of alternate modes of transportation for work
 - Increase awareness of the benefit of periodic well water testing and increase the number of onsite septic systems that are pumped out at least every 5 years
 - Increase awareness of Lyme disease and other arboviral infections
 - Increase awareness of and participation in drug take back initiatives
 - o Impact objectives:

- Reduced number of days the Air Quality Index exceeds 100
- Reduced proportion of Lyme disease cases identified in a late stage
- Minimized number of waterborne infections
- Issue 3: Improving child health and wellness
 - o Outcome objectives:
 - Increase participation in the USDA's WIC nutrition program
 - Reduce percentage of children entering school who have a BMI above recommended level
 - Increase awareness of the benefits of healthy eating and exercise
 - Increase number of farmers markets accepting SNAP
 - o Impact objectives:
 - Increased number of farmers markets that accept SNAP
 - Increased number of providers trained in mental health first aid
- Issue 4: Promoting healthy families in healthy communities
 - Outcome objectives:
 - Improve sustainability of the Loudoun Pediatric Obesity Coalition
 - Increase number of businesses participating in the Loudoun Chamber of Commerce's Healthy Business Challenge
 - Increase awareness of substance abuse and mental health issues
 - o Impact objectives:
 - Increased availability of affordable housing units
 - Increased social involvement

PRIMARY DATA ASSESSMENT

Community input (primary data) was gathered through the design and administration of a community survey and through key informant interviews. This section summarizes findings from this process.

Community Survey Findings

In total, 2,232 surveys were received from communities served by all Inova hospitals, and 1,118 surveys were received from residents of the Inova Fair Oaks Hospital community.

It is important to note that the survey utilized a convenience sampling methodology, and not a random sampling approach, such as one carried out by dialing randomly selected phone numbers. For this reason, findings from the survey are not generalizable to or representative of community-wide opinion. Even with this consideration, results from the community survey have been included in this assessment because they may corroborate and supplement the other data sources, and may be helpful in identifying potential health disparities.

Respondent Characteristics

Of the 1,118 surveys from the hospital's community:

- Approximately 81 percent were female (856 respondents indicated their gender; 697 of these as female);
- 8 percent indicated they were Hispanic (or Latino);
- 6 percent indicated they were Black or African American; 7 percent were Asian; 80 percent were White/Caucasian;
- 34 percent indicated they were between 40 and 54 years of age; 24 percent were between 55 and 64 years of age; 18 percent 65 years of age or older;
- 4 percent indicated annual household income below \$25,000; 9 percent between \$25,000 and \$49,999; 27 percent between \$50,000 and \$99,999; 29 percent between \$100,000 and \$149,999; 31 percent \$150,000 and above; and
- 78 percent indicated they had private health insurance; 4 percent that they were uninsured.

Results: Inova Fair Oaks Hospital Community Residents

Exhibits 38 through 41 summarize survey responses from residents of the Inova Fair Oaks Hospital community.

Exhibit 38

Question: What do you think are the most important health issues in your community/neighborhood? Check only 3.

Issue	Count	Percent Responded
Access to care	314	28.1%
Mental health problems	305	27.3%
Overweight/Obesity	274	24.5%
Housing that is adequate, safe and affordable	207	18.5%
Aging problems (e.g., arthritis, hearing/vision loss)	196	17.5%
Lack of exercise	172	15.4%
Cancers	156	14.0%
Alcohol/Drug abuse	145	13.0%
Heart disease and stroke	110	9.8%
Nutrition	107	9.6%
Diabetes	99	8.9%
High blood pressure	93	8.3%
Lyme Disease	81	7.2%
Other (please specify)	66	5.9%
Dental problems	60	5.4%
Bullying	56	5.0%
Motor vehicle crash injuries	53	4.7%
Suicide	51	4.6%
Domestic Violence	44	3.9%
Tobacco Use	34	3.0%
Infectious diseases (e.g., hepatitis, TB)	32	2.9%
Child abuse/neglect	29	2.6%
Teenage pregnancy	22	2.0%
Gun-related injuries	17	1.5%
Respiratory/lung disease	17	1.5%
Sexually transmitted diseases	11	1.0%
Rape/sexual assault	10	0.9%
HIV/AIDS	7	0.6%
Homicide	4	0.4%
Infant death	2	0.2%

*Note: 2 responses were excluded due to respondents choosing more than 3 responses Source: Inova Health System, 2016.

65

Over 20 percent of respondents indicated access to care, mental health problems, and obesity were among the most important health issues in the community. Affordable housing, aging problems, a lack of exercise, cancers, and substance abuse were identified by over 10 percent of respondents as among the most important issues.

Exhibit 39

Question: Has a doctor, nurse, or other health professional EVER told you that you had any of the following?

Issue	Percent Yes
Overweight or obese	43.7%
High cholesterol	39.4%
High blood pressure	35.6%
Some form of arthritis, rheumatoid arthritis, gout, lupus, or fibromyalgia	29.2%
A depressive disorder, including depression, major depression, dysthymia, or minor depression	26.2%
Asthma	18.0%
Skin cancer	12.6%
Diabetes at any other time	9.8%
Any other types of cancer	9.7%
Diabetes when you were pregnant	5.4%
Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease or COPD, emphysema or chronic bronchitis	4.3%
A stroke	2.2%
Kidney disease (Does NOT include kidney stones, bladder infection or incontinence)	2.2%
Angina or coronary heart disease	2.1%
A heart attack, also called a myocardial infarction	1.2%

Source: Inova Health System, 2016.

Over 15 percent of respondents indicated that a health professional had told them that they had: obesity/overweight, high cholesterol, high blood pressure, arthritis, a depressive disorder, and/or asthma.

Survey questions 9 and 10 asked about access to care, and for those unable to access needed medical care, the reasons why not, by service type. **Exhibit 40** identifies the number of respondents who indicated access challenges for each type of service.

Exhibit 40: Respondents Unable to Access Services, by Service Type

Issue	Count
Dental Care	63
Basic Medical Care	58
Medicines	50
Mental Health Care	34
Medical/Surgical Specialty Care	29
Medical Supplies	18

Source: Inova Health System, 2016.

Basic medical care, dental care, and access to proper medicines were the most frequently identified services

Exhibit 41 summarizes the reasons why respondents have been unable to access these services.

Exhibit 41: Access Barriers by Service Type

Access Barrier	Basic Medical Care	Dental Care	Mental Health Care	Medical/ Surgical	Medicines	Medical Supplies
Can't afford	24	45	17	15	32	12
No insurance	23	33	9	11	21	9
Insurance wouldn't cover	8	17	13	12	21	6
Inconvenient hours	24	13	12	6	2	1
Can't get appointment	16	7	13	7	6	3
No transportation	7	7	5	4	4	2
No child care	9	7	5	3	2	2
Other reasons	7	4	3	2	4	2
Don't trust medical professionals	3	2	2	3	1	1
Language barrier	2	2	1	1	1	0
Don't know how to schedule an appointment	1	1	2	1	1	0
Cultural/religious beliefs	0	0	1	1	0	0

Source: Inova Health System, 2016.

A lack of affordability (particularly for dental care) and a lack of insurance coverage were the top two most frequently identified access barriers. Respondents also identified inconvenient hours as a primary barrier for basic medical care. Insurance not covering dental care, mental health care and medicines was identified by a number of respondents as an issue area.

Results: Northern-Virginia Wide Responses by Demographic Cohort

In addition to assessing responses from all residents of the Inova Fair Oaks Hospital community, survey responses from across the area served by all Inova hospitals were assessed to understand how responses vary by demographic cohort (ethnicity, race, age, gender, income, and insurance status). The following observations are based on analyzing the 2,232 survey responses, by cohort.

• Responses by race:


- Among the 2,232 survey responses, 1,299 respondents indicated they are White or Caucasian, 144 indicated they are Black or African American, 90 Asian (including from Bangladesh, India and Pakistan), and 84 some other race; 615 respondents left this survey question blank.
- Regarding the "most important health issues" in the community, the following issues ranked comparatively high:

- Black/African American respondents: alcohol/drug abuse, diabetes, high blood pressure, dental problems, and safe and affordable housing
- Asian respondents: diabetes and high blood pressure
- White/Caucasian respondents: mental health problems, heart disease and stroke, cancers, and Lyme disease
- The survey included questions about the number of days (during the past 30) when mental health and physical health "was not good." Average responses were:
 - Black/African American respondents: 5.4 days (mental health), 6.3 days (physical health)
 - Asian respondents: 4.1 days (mental health), 4.6 (physical health)
 - White/Caucasian respondents: 3.8 days (mental health), 4.6 days (physical health)
- Regarding where respondents and their family members go for regular health care, Black/African American and Asian respondents indicated greater reliance on free or low-cost clinics or health centers (approximately 10 percent of these respondents versus 3 percent for White/Caucasian individuals). White/Caucasian respondents reported greater use of urgent care centers or walk-in clinics.
- Regarding whether the respondent had been told by a health professional that he/she had certain conditions:
 - More Black/African American respondents indicated "yes" for high blood pressure (46 percent) and for overweight/obesity (59 percent) than for White/Caucasian (40 percent and 45 percent respectively)
 - More White/Caucasian respondents indicated "yes" for high cholesterol, skin cancer, and depression than other groups
 - More Asian respondents indicated "yes" for diabetes than other groups (12 percent, versus 7 percent for Black/African American and 4 percent for White/Caucasian)
- Black/African American respondents indicated: more exposure to second hand smoke, and less healthy diets (more fast food, fewer servings of vegetables and fruit).
- O Thirty-one percent of White/Caucasian respondents indicated they have more alcoholic drinks per day (more than 2 per day for men or 1 per day for women) versus 12 percent for Asian and 19 percent for Black/African American respondents.

- Responses by age group:
 - Among the 2,232 survey responses, 57 were 18 to 25 years of age, 336 were 26 to 39, 568 were 40 to 54, 412 were 55 to 64, and 347 were 65 years of age and older; 512 individuals did not provide their age range.
 - o Regarding the "most important health issues" in the community:
 - A number of issues were ranked highly across all age groups, including access to care, cancers, diabetes, housing that is affordable and safe, obesity/overweight and lack of exercise, and mental health problems.
 - Respondents in older age groups mentioned "aging problems" as the number one issue. Heart disease and stroke and high blood pressure also were ranked among the most important issues.
 - Respondents in younger age groups mentioned nutrition, domestic violence, and teenage pregnancy as problematic.
 - Almost 80 percent of older respondents indicated they visit the emergency room only in the event of a "real emergency." Almost one-half of those in younger age groups indicated they visit emergency rooms for other reasons, such as a lack of health insurance or "doctor's office was closed" or "could not see me/my family."
 - O Survey responses indicate that as community residents age, they are more likely to have been told by a health professional that they have one or more health conditions, such as high blood pressure, arthritis, or high cholesterol. This is not the case, however, for depression which ranges from 19 to 27 percent of respondents across all age groups.
 - Certain health-related behaviors appear less prevalent within the 65 years and older age group, such as exposure to second-hand smoke and eating fast food. This age group, however, has the highest proportion indicating they do not "exercise for 30 minutes or more a day."
- Responses by income level:
 - o Among the 2,232 survey responses,
 - 45 were from individuals who indicated their annual household income was less than \$10,000,
 - 80 were received from those with income between \$10,000 and \$24,999,
 - 172 for \$25,000 to \$49,999.
 - **389** for those \$50,000 to \$99,999,


- 333 for those \$100,000 to \$149,999, and
- 392 with incomes of \$150,000 and higher.
- 821 individuals did not provide their income range.
- Regarding the "most important health issues" in the community:
 - Dental problems, diabetes, and bullying were ranked comparatively high by the lowest income groups.
 - Heart disease and stroke, overweight/obesity and mental health problems were ranked comparatively high by the highest income groups. "Mental health problems" and "Access to care" were ranked the most important health issues (tied) for the \$150,000 and above group. Access to care was ranked the most important health issue in the community in all other groups as well.
- Regarding the number of days (during the past 30) when physical health "was not good," the average for all respondents was 5.0 days; however, those with incomes under \$10,000 averaged 10.3 days. This statistic fell as income levels rose, with 10.3 days for the lowest income category and 3.9 days for the highest income category (**Exhibit 42**).

Exhibit 42: Average Monthly Unhealthy Days, by Income Level



Respondents with the lowest income levels relied the most on free or low-cost clinics or health centers and on hospital emergency rooms for their regular health care (Exhibit 43). Most respondents in higher income categories received regular care in private doctor's offices (e.g., 370 out of the 392 respondents with incomes of \$150,000 and higher).

Exhibit 43: Use of Free Clinics and Private Doctor's Offices, by Income Level



Over seventy percent of higher income respondents indicated they tend to go to an emergency room in the event of a real emergency (unless their doctor's office is closed or otherwise unavailable). Lower income respondents go to an emergency room either because they are uninsured or because they do not have a regular medical doctor (Exhibit 44).




Exhibit 44: Reasons for Emergency Room Visit(s), by Income Level

- Lower-income respondents indicated greater prevalence of diabetes and COPD or emphysema than higher income groups.
- Certain health-related behaviors appear more prevalent within lower-income groups, such as poor nutrition and unprotected sex.
- Respondents indicated that having alcoholic drinks (more than 2 per day for men or 1 per day for women) increases with income: 5 percent of those with incomes under \$10,000 and 12 percent of those with incomes between \$10,000 and \$24,999 indicated this level of alcohol use increasing to 35 percent for those with incomes of \$150,000 and higher.

• Responses by payer source:

- Among the 2,232 survey responses, 122 indicated they were uninsured; 1,594 indicated they had some form of insurance coverage either Medicare, Medicaid, other governmental (e.g., TRICARE) or private insurance. 516 respondents did not provide insurance coverage information.
- Three out of the top five most important issues were the same among both those with and without insurance (access to care [1], aging problems [4] and housing that is safe and affordable [5]). Among the uninsured, dental problems and alcohol/drug abuse were number 2 and 3 respectively. For insured respondents,

- the second and third most important issues were mental health problems and overweight/obesity.
- Regarding the number of days (during the past 30) when physical health "was not good," the average for uninsured respondents was 7.4 days and for insured respondents was 4.8 days.
- o Fifty-six (56) percent of uninsured respondents said they rely on free or low-cost clinics or health centers and on hospital emergency rooms for their regular health care, compared to 8 percent for those with insurance (**Exhibit 45**). Ten (10) percent of uninsured respondents indicate they "don't have a regular place for medical care" compared to 2 percent for those with insurance.




Exhibit 45: Types of Providers Used, by Insurance Status

- Seventy-eight (78) percent of uninsured respondents indicated that they went to an emergency room in the last year, with 37 percent of these visits representing "real emergencies." Reasons for these visits included a lack of health insurance, not having a regular medical doctor, and lack of affordability. Forty-five (45) percent of insured respondents went to an emergency room, with 73 percent of these visits being a "real emergency."
- Generally, fewer uninsured respondents had been told by a health professional that they have one of a list of specific health conditions than insured respondents, with the exceptions of diabetes and "overweight or obese."
- Regarding questions about health behaviors, uninsured respondents indicated that they have less healthy nutritional and exercise habits, more unprotected sex, and more tobacco and e-cigarette use.

Survey findings varied significantly depending on respondent ethnicity, race, income, insurance status, and other demographic characteristics.

Key Stakeholder Interviews

Findings

The following issues were identified by external informants as those of greatest concern to community health in the Inova Fair Oaks Hospital community, and are presented in alphabetical order.

Access to Health Care. Key stakeholders identified several health care services as difficult to access in the Fair Oaks community. Furthermore, interviewees indicated that more mobile health units, free clinics, and other providers were needed, indicating issues with accessing health care currently. The specific services identified (among others that will be expanded on in further sections) were:

- **Dental Services.** Proper oral health care was seen as a service particularly difficult to access in the community. This concern was especially present among low-income populations, as a lack of affordability and a lack of dental coverage insurance were seen as the main barriers to care.
- **Primary Care.** Respondents also identified basic primary care as difficult to access. In particular, interviewees believed that many residents in the community still used the emergency room as a primary care provider, indicating the lack of a medical home. Affordability, insurance status, and a lack of information all were cited as causes of the inaccessibility.
- **Specialty Care.** Several interviewees believed that treatment for specialty conditions was difficult to access in the community. Affordability was one cause of this. Furthermore, even if care was available, interviewees believed that travel to these locations was excessively far and difficult for those without transportation options in the community.

Care of the Elderly. In response to the growing elderly population in all areas of the community, interviewees believed that adequate care for the elderly to ensure the ability to age in place was a primary concern. Respondents pointed to common unhealthy-lifestyle conditions (heart disease, diabetes) as problematic among seniors, as well as Alzheimer's disease, dementia, and joint problems. Furthermore, interviewees identified a need for in-home health care, assisted living facilities, and other care options for the elderly as top priorities and needs.

Cultural Understanding in Care. Many interviewees described a need for more cultural understanding and fluency in providing health care in the Fair Oaks community. Respondents believed that many immigrants and non-English speaking populations had trouble finding providers that could adequately accommodate their needs, especially for mental and behavioral health services. Interviewees also indicated that many other cultures did not value preventive care highly, making it difficult to ensure adequate health. The key stakeholders also consistently

identified minority and immigrant populations as ones who had disproportionately poor health outcomes. Undocumented immigrants were seen as especially prone to these disparities.

Lack of Information. A common theme that respondents mentioned as an issue in the community was not having proper information for health conditions, providers, and prevention. A lack of information and understanding about both the health system and health insurance was identified as one of the main barriers to adequate health in the community. Additionally, when asked about current programs in the community, many interviewees were unable to identify specific health programs and initiatives. Interviewees also indicated that a central information center on all health matters was needed in the community, indicating that a lack of information was a large issue.

Mental Health Conditions and Care. A large majority of those interviewed believed that either mental health conditions or accessing mental health services were a primary concern in the community. While all mental health conditions were considered concerns, depression and suicidal tendencies were most often identified. Furthermore, adolescent populations were viewed as particularly susceptible to poor mental health, largely as a product of the high stress and expectations put on them for academic achievement. Mental health services were also seen as disproportionately inaccessible in the community. While all mental health services were considered for improvement, interviewees indicated that adolescent services, long-term institutional care, and diverting at-risk populations from incarceration to treatment were most important.

Physical Environment and Transportation. Interviewees indicated that the Inova Fair Oaks Hospital community had several limits in its physical environment and infrastructure that resulted in poor health outcomes in the community. Several respondents stated that residents have poor access to recreational space, parks, trails, sidewalks, and other walkable areas. This limitation leads to sedentary lifestyles and obesity concerns. Furthermore, transportation options were considered limited in the community. Transportation issues were also thought to disproportionately affect low-income and elderly residents, both of whom may not have regular access to cars.

Substance Abuse. Several substance abuse issues across the Fair Oaks community were identified as a priority in community health by interviewees. Respondents often indicated that the use of alcohol and binge drinking was a primary concern across the entire community, including all age-ranges and income-levels. Prescription drugs, heroin, and other opioid use were also thought to be concerns by respondents. While the entire population was considered at-risk for substance abuse, interviewees were particularly concerned with adolescent usage of substances.

Unhealthy Lifestyles and Resulting Conditions. Interviewees believed that community residents were prone to unhealthy lifestyle choices that led to several different poor health outcomes. In particular, interviewees believed that poor diets and lack of proper exercise were fairly common throughout the Fair Oaks community. These lifestyle choices led to respondents also being concerned for conditions that can result from unhealthy lifestyles; in particular, the conditions of most concern were obesity, diabetes and pre-diabetes, hypertension, and cardiovascular disease.

Interview Participants

Individuals from the following organizations participated in the interview process (Exhibit 46).

Exhibit 46: Interview Participants

Organization	Description	Populations Represented
Fairfax County Board of Supervisors	Governing body of Fairfax County	General population
Fairfax County Health Care Advisory	Board charged with assisting Fairfax	General population
Board	County Board of Supervisors in health policy	Physicians
Fairfax County Health Department	Public health department	General population
Fairfax County Multicultural Advisory Council	Citizen-led advisory commission on cultural communities to health department	Immigrant community, Hispanic community, Muslim community, East African community, Korean community, Indian community
Fairfax County physicians	Local area doctors and physicians	General population Physicians
Fairfax County Public Schools	School system of Fairfax County	General population Youth/adolescents
Fairfax-Falls Church Community	Public agency for support of those with	Mentally ill population
Services Board	mental illness, substance abuse issues,	Substance abuse needs
	or intellectual disabilities	Intellectually disabled
George Mason University, College of Health and Human Services	University program dedicated to health	General population Students
Grace Ministries	Faith-based community outreach program	Faith-based community Immigrant population
HealthWorks for Northern Virginia	Federally Qualified Health Center	General population Low income Uninsured/Underinsured
Inova Board of Directors	Controlling body of Inova Health System	General population
Inova Fair Oaks Hospital Internal Staff	Internal working staff of Inova Fair Oaks Hospital	General population Physicians
Inova Office of Health Equity	Inova office dealing in health disparities	Marginalized populations Minority populations
Northern Virginia Family Services	Non-profit	Adolescent/youth Low income Homeless Mentally ill
Northern Virginia Health Foundation	Healthcare grant organization	General population Low Income Uninsured/Underinsured
Partnership for a Healthier Fairfax	Citizen-led health coalition and strategic planning organization	·
Route 1 Human Services Task Force	Support and advocacy program for marginalized populations along Richmond Highway	Homeless population Low income population

Your Opinion Matters!

Inova is doing a community health needs assessment to help find and act on the biggest health and healthcare issues in our communities. This survey will help us learn more about health where you live.

This survey will take 15 minutes or less to complete. There are no right or wrong answers to these questions, we want to hear your thoughts and opinions. All answers are completely anonymous and confidential.

1.	What is your ZIP Code?	
2.		health issues in your community/neighborhood?
	Check only 3.	
	☐ Access to care	☐ Infant death
	☐ Aging problems (e.g., arthritis,	☐ Infectious diseases (e.g., hepatitis, TB)
	hearing/vision loss)	☐ Lack of exercise
	☐ Alcohol/Drug abuse	☐ Lyme Disease
	☐ Bullying	☐ Mental health problems
	☐ Cancers	☐ Motor vehicle crash injuries
	☐ Child abuse/neglect	☐ Nutrition
	☐ Dental problems	Overweight/Obesity
	☐ Diabetes	☐ Rape/sexual assault
	☐ Domestic Violence	☐ Respiratory/lung disease
	☐ Gun-related injuries	Sexually transmitted diseases
	☐ Heart disease and stroke	☐ Suicide
	☐ High blood pressure	☐ Teenage pregnancy
	☐ HIV/AIDS	☐ Tobacco Use
	☐ Homicide	☐ Other:
	\square Housing that is adequate, safe and	
	affordable	
3.	Thinking about your mental health (including	ng stress, depression, and problems with
	emotions), for how many days during the p	ast 30 days was your mental/emotional health
	not good?	

4.	Now thinking about your physical health, which includes physical illness and injury, for how many days during the past 30 days was your physical health not good?
5.	Where do you and your family members go for regular health care? (Please select all that apply.) Free or low-cost clinic or health center (like HealthWorks, Neighborhood Health, CHCN Clinic, Arlington Free Clinic, etc.) Urgent care center or other walk-in clinic (like CVS, Walgreens, etc.) Hospital emergency room Health department Provider of alternative medicine (i.e., herbalist, homeopathic, acupuncturist) Private doctor's office (MD, Nurse Practitioner, Physician's Assistant) Chiropractor I don't have a regular place for medical care Other:
6.	During the last year, why did you or a family member go to an emergency room (if at all)? Please select all that apply. I/my family had a real emergency The doctor's office was closed or could not see me/ my family I/my family do not have a regular medical doctor I/my family could not afford health services somewhere else I/my family do not have health insurance Did not go to the emergency room
7.	Before today, how long has it been since you last saw a doctor for a routine checkup? A routine checkup is a general physical exam, not an exam for a specific injury, illness, or condition. Within the past year (anytime less than 12 months ago) Within the past 2 years (more than 1 year but less than 2 years ago) Within the past 5 years (more than 2 years but less than 5 years ago) 5 or more years ago Don't know / Not sure

	Yes	No	Don't Knov Not Sure
High blood pressure			
High cholesterol			
A heart attack, also called a myocardial infarction			
Angina or coronary heart disease			
A stroke			
Asthma			
Skin cancer			
Any other types of cancer			
Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease or COPD, emphysema or chronic bronchitis			
Some form of arthritis, rheumatoid arthritis, gout, lupus, or fibromyalgia			
A depressive disorder, including depression, major depression, dysthymia, or minor depression			
Kidney disease? (Does NOT include kidney stones, bladder infection or incontinence)			
Diabetes when you were pregnant?			
Diabetes at any other time?			
Overweight or Obese			
Any other chronic condition? Write condition:			
9. How long has it been since you last went to a dentist or a dental of Include visits to dental specialists, such as orthodontists. Within the past year (anytime less than 12 months ago) Within the past 2 years (more than 1 year but less than 2 years Within the past 5 years (more than 2 years but less than 5 years 5 or more years ago Don't know / Not sure Never	s ago) rs ago)		
health, dental health, medicines, etc.) but could not get it?			

☐ Don't know/Not sure

11. If you answered "Yes", why not? Check all that apply.

	Basic Medical Care	Dental Care	Mental Health Care	Medical/ Surgical Specialty Care	Medicines	Medical Supplies
No insurance						
Can't get appointment						
Can't afford it/ too expensive						
Inconvenient hours/can't get out of work						
No child care						
Insurance wouldn't cover						
No transportation						
Don't trust medical professionals						
Cultural/religious beliefs						
Language barrier						
Don't know how to find or schedule an appointment						
Other reasons						

12.	In	the	last	30	davs	, did	vou:

	Yes	No	Don't Know/ Not Sure
Chew tobacco/snuff or smoke cigarettes, cigars, pipes, etc.			
Use e-cigarettes			
Breath second-hand smoke			
Use drugs prescribed for someone else			
Have more than 2 alcoholic drinks per day (men) or more than 1 alcoholic drink per day (women)			
Drive in a car without a seat belt			
Eat fast food more than once in a week			
Travel in a car with small children without using a car seat			
Spend more than 20 minutes in the sun without sunscreen (during the summer months)			
Exercise for 30 minutes or more a day			
Eat at least 2 servings of vegetables a day			
Eat at least 2 servings of fruit a day			
Sleep at least 8 hours every night			
Have sex without using a condom or dental dam (if not in a monogamous relationship)			

13. Please mark when you have done the following things:

	Less than 12 months	More than 1 year, less than 2 years	More than 2 years, less than 5 years	More than 5 years ago	Don't know/Not sure	Never
Had a colonoscopy						
Had a mammogram						
Had cholesterol checked						
Had a clinical breast exam						
Had a pap test						
Had a PSA test						
Had an HIV test						
Got a flu vaccine						
Got the pneumonia vaccine						
Got the shingles vaccine						
Got a tetanus booster						

14.	Before today, were you at all limited in any activities because of physical, mental, or emotional problems?
	□ Yes
	□ No
	☐ Don't know/Not sure
	Please answer the following questions so that we can better understand how different members of our diverse community feel about the issues listed above.
15.	Are you of Hispanic, Latino/a, or Spanish origin? — Yes
	□ No □ Don't know / Not sure / Choose not to answer
	☐ Don't know / Not sure / Choose not to answer
16.	With which one of these groups do you most identify? White/Caucasian
	☐ Black or African American
	☐ Asian, including from Bangladesh, India and Pakistan
	☐ American Indian or Alaska Native
	□ Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander
	Other
	☐ Don't know / Not sure / Choose not to answer
17.	. How old are you?
	□ 18 – 25
	□ 26 − 39
	□ 40 − 54
	□ 55 − 64
	□ 65 or over
18.	Do you have children less than 18 years of age living in your household?
	□ Yes
	□ No
10	What is the highest grade or year of school you completed?
19.	What is the highest grade or year of school you completed?
	Never attended school or only attended kindergartenGrades 1 through 8 (Elementary)
	☐ Grades 9 through 11 (Some high school)
	☐ Grade 12 or GED (High school graduate)
	☐ College 1 year to 3 years (Some college or technical school)
	☐ College 4-year degree or more (College graduate)

20.	What	t is your annual household income from all sources?
		ess than \$10,000
	□ \$	10,000 - \$24,999
	□ \$:	25,000 - \$49,999
	□ \$!	50,000 - \$99,999
	□ \$	100,000 - \$149,999
	□ \$	150,000+
		don't know or choose not to answer
21.	How	do you pay for your health care?
	□ U	se cash or credit/debit card (no insurance)
	□ н	ealth insurance through my employer or my spouse's employer
	□ P	rivate health insurance I pay for
		1edicaid
	\square N	1edicare
	□ T	RICARE, VA or Military
	□ Ir	ndian Health Services
	□ O	ther
22.	With	what gender do you identify?
		1ale
	□ Fe	emale
	□ T ₁	ransgender – Male to Female
	□ T	ransgender – Female to Male
		ther:

APPENDIX B - ACTIONS TAKEN SINCE THE PREVIOUS CHNA

This appendix discusses community health improvement actions taken by Inova since its last CHNA reports were published, and based on the subsequently developed Implementation Strategies. The information is included in the 2016 CHNA reports to respond to final IRC 501(r) regulations, published by the IRS in December 2014.

Priority Strategic Initiatives

- 1. Improve lack of access to care, including preventive care, primary care, specialty care, dental care, and senior case/care management.
 - a. Inova works to ensure access to services for the indigent through direct and inkind support to HealthWorks for Northern Virginia. These contributions were \$300,000 from 2013 2015. Starting at the end of 2014, Inova also has a representative on the HealthWorks board of directors.
 - b. Inova has also provided direct and in-kind contributions to NOVA Scripts, the Center for Multicultural Human Services, Shenandoah University and the Nova Dental Clinic. Total contributions to these organizations totaled \$2.6 million.
 - c. Supported the annual Northern Virginia Dental Society's Mission of Mercy event through a cash donation as well as an in-kind phlebotomist for post-exposure testing and post-exposure prophylaxis (PEP) if necessary. Assisted the organization ahead of time with development of a needle stick protocol.
 - d. Inova Transitional Services is a community-based program developed to identify and bridge gaps between illness and recovery. This model establishes crosssetting communication and collaboration and ensures coordination and continuity of care as patients transfer across care delivery settings. The program trains internal teams and works in partnership with the community to develop improved health outcomes at a lower cost for vulnerable patient populations. The Inova Discharge/Transitional Care Clinic assists patients that have been discharged from the hospital and have no other medical home. Through the Discharge Clinic, patients have a place to go that will help them manage their complex disease states until they can be transitioned to a permanent medical home. Inova Fair Oaks Hospital has additional staffing in place to ensure an easy move for patients from hospital care to the Transitional programs. From 2013 2015, Inova Transitional Services provided over 36,000 encounters.
 - e. Inova Juniper Program (IJP) provides outpatient primary medical care, mental health therapy, substance abuse treatment, pharmaceutical assistance, nutritional counseling and medical case management services to 1,638 persons living with HIV disease in the suburban Virginia region. To maximize accessibility for clients, services are provided at the main location in Fairfax, as well as six satellite clinics (Dumfries, Manassas, Mt. Vernon, Arlington/Falls Church, Leesburg, and Herndon), hospitals, homes and other community locations throughout the region. From 2013 2015, Inova Juniper provided over 100,000 visits throughout the region.
 - f. Care Connection for Children (CCC) is funded by the Virginia Department of Health. The goal of CCC is to help families coordinate community and educational resources with medical expertise to ensure that children with special

- healthcare needs can reach their maximum potential. CCC partners with families of children who have chronic healthcare needs to help open doors to needed resources and coordinate quality family-centered care. CCC is committed to helping children maximize their potential in a caring, innovative and culturally sensitive manner. From 2013 2015, CCC served 1,936 families.
- g. The Inova Lions Eye Clinic provides free eye care (comprehensive ophthalmic care, including medical and surgical care for all types of conditions of the eye) to uninsured adult patients who are at or below 200 percent of the Federal Poverty Guidelines. Clinic staffing includes an employed ophthalmologist, and ophthalmic technician, and a bilingual receptionist. A number of volunteer specialist physicians also help care for clinic patients. The clinic is funded both by Inova and the Virginia Lions Eye Institute Foundation. From 2013-2015, the clinic had over 8,000 patient visits.
- h. The Inova Kellar Center has provided behavioral health services for children, adolescents, and their families for twenty years. The program provides a full continuum of outpatient services and programs, including individual, family and group therapy, medication management, psychiatric evaluations, psychological testing, intensive outpatient programs, intensive home based services, and partial hospitalization programs. Specific programs also include the After School Intensive Outpatient Program (for male and female adolescents ages 13 and above) and The Kellar School, which served students grades 3 through 12 who have been identified for special education services. The treatment services and programs are provided to children and families regardless of ability to pay.
- i. Inova Partnership for Healthier Kids (PHK) is a community-based outreach program designed to increase access to care for uninsured children in Northern Virginia. Through partnerships with schools in Fairfax County, Loudoun County, Prince William County, the City of Alexandria, and with numerous community organizations, the program provides families with application and enrollment assistance for Medicaid and CHIP, and referrals to local safety net providers. From 2013 2015, the program helped 10,439 children access health services across Northern Virginia.
- j. In December of 2012, Inova acquired INTotal Health to fulfill a portion of Inova's Vision 2015. As a Managed Care Organization (MCO), INTotal Health specializes in Medicaid services and for the past nine years the health plan has played an important role in helping more than 55,000 members throughout Northern Virginia, Alleghany/Roanoke, Culpeper, Winchester, and far southwestern regions of Virginia.
- k. For years the safety net partners, including Inova, have been working closely with the Medical Society of Northern Virginia to establish a network of specialty providers for indigent patients who are in need of these services. The group is working to develop a system that supports the specialists to include transportation for the patients, interpreters when needed and scheduling for the visit. The primary care safety net providers are committed to seeing the patients for follow-up care and labs that don't need a specialist.
- l. InovaCares for Seniors is a health plan based on the nationally respected Program of All-Inclusive Care for the Elderly (PACE®) model. This innovative program

- provides integrated healthcare and social services to seniors in a community-based setting. The goal is to keep seniors healthy and living within their community for as long as possible. InovaCares for Seniors is the first comprehensive, coordinated care program in Northern Virginia preserving the independence of participants in the community.
- m. The FACT program, which is offered as a community service at no charge to victims, combines specialized nurse examiners and a private environment so that evidence of abuse can be collected and documented without further traumatizing the victim. The FACT department is also an important component in crossjurisdictional crime reduction efforts and community outreach programs. FACT representatives serve on five multidisciplinary teams for children in the City of Alexandria as well as Arlington, Fairfax, Loudoun and Prince William counties. In 2015, the program continued to expand its prevention-focused outreach at college campuses and community organizations. Between 2013 2015 the FACT Department has helped almost 1,600 individuals.
- 2. Address high rates of cancer incidence and reduce disparities in cancer mortality.
 - a. Inova Translational Medicine Institute (ITMI) is a not-for-profit research institute that applies genomic and clinical information from individuals to develop innovative methods for personalized healthcare. ITMI offers a comprehensive Cancer Genetic Counseling Program for individuals who are at increased risk for hereditary cancer. Our genetic counselors are trained to help patients and families navigate through complex medical information and genetic testing.
 - b. The Life with Cancer (LWC) program works to enhance the quality of life of those individuals in the community affected by cancer. The program addresses the specific needs by providing individual and family counseling, support groups, educational seminars, workshops on cancer diagnosis and treatment, and a full array of complimentary therapies. Life with Cancer is generously supported by our community; therefore all services are available at no charge to residents of the Washington Metropolitan area. LWC now has a site in the new medical office building on the Inova Fair Oaks Hospital Campus. Community programs here have greatly expanded in number.
 - c. The number of specialists on the Inova Fair Oaks campus has increased to address the community need. Additionally, the Breast Center in the adjacent medical office building has expanded.
 - d. The Fair Oaks Campus program received the STAR certification on Nov 10, 2014. As part of the requirements for program certification, 30 individuals, who were purposefully selected from multi-disciplinary areas, completed approximately 30 hours of education about the impairments that can be caused by cancer and cancer treatment. They then each shared this education with their own teams. The core group worked together to implement and streamline processes to routinely identify these impairments in patients with cancer at various points along the spectrum of diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. Once identified, these patients receive education about rehab services and are connected with the appropriate resources.

- e. A new Healing Garden is open to the community on the IFOH campus.
- f. In April 2013, more than 50 clergy, faith community nurses and health ministry coordinators turned out for a Palliative Care Conference. Inova Congregational Health Partnership presented the event in partnership with Inova's Palliative Care program, Pastoral Care Services, Life with Cancer® program, and the Community Affairs Executive from Inova Fairfax Medical Campus. Participants learned the many aspects of palliative care, specialized medical care for people with serious illnesses with a goal of improving the quality of life for the patients and their families.
- 3. Decrease the prevalence of diet and exercise-related issues, including disparities in diabetes mortality and high rates of overweight/obesity.
 - a. The Inova Medical Weight Loss Program (MWL) is a non-surgical option to motivate, educate and exercise the individual toward successful weight loss and management. The hospital has expanded the MWL program by adding an additional Exercise Specialist and increasing the classes offered.
 - b. Inova Fair Oaks also offers approximately 12 free weight loss/exercise/wellness educational opportunities per month to the community through a Weight Loss Series.
 - c. In 2013 and 2014, Promotores worked with women with gestational diabetes receiving prenatal care at the Inova Cares Clinic for Women. The Promotores were individually matched with patients to provide one-to-one guidance throughout their pregnancy and early infancy stages through telephonic support. Women participating in this program reported gaining knowledge and understanding of their gestational diabetes and how to best manage their diagnosis. In addition, they reported feeling confident about asking questions to medical providers. In response to a question about their confidence level in management of their Gestational Diabetes, 85.42% of the 384 survey responses reported being "very sure" or "sure" they are now able to better self-manage their gestational diabetes. Furthermore, 91.69% out of 385 responses reported being "very comfortable" or "comfortable" in communicating information with their medical provider related to their gestational diabetes.
 - d. The Inova Center for Wellness and Metabolic Health (ICWMH) provides visits for uninsured, underinsured, and fully insured diabetic patients with an Endocrinologist and Nurse Practitioner. Services include diabetes classes and individual appointments including Medical Nutrition Therapy visits to help people learn about their diets and how nutrition affects health and wellbeing. There is an ICWMH office onsite at Inova Fair Oaks Hospital. From 2013 2015, ICWMH provided almost 20,000 patient visits overall. ICWMH tracks patient compliance data, and in 2015, 89 percent of patients met behavioral goals. The success of ICWMH contributes to wellness for diabetic patients and prevents or delays longer term negative and often debilitating effects of diabetes and related chronic diseases. ICWMH continues to be recognized by the American Diabetes Association.
 - e. To help local residents afford fresh, healthy food, and ultimately reduce the incidence of chronic disease, Inova started its 'SNAP Double Dollars' program in 2011. The program builds on the growing acceptance of SNAP benefits at farmers

- markets, where fresh, local produce is sold seasonally. Through Inova's program, SNAP recipients are able to double their farmers market purchases, up to \$10. During 2013 2015, over \$16,000 of Inova funds were used for SNAP Double Dollars recipients. Both the farmers markets and the individuals receiving these matching funds benefit, with community members becoming less food insecure and experiencing enhanced nutrition.
- f. The Northern Virginia Healthy Kids Coalition is a community partnership designed to get kids healthy and to fight obesity. The partnership includes area school districts, Inova, and others. The Coalition sponsors and promotes a number of initiatives, including "9-5-2-1-0 For Health" (9 hours of sleep, 5 servings of fruits and vegetables, 2 hours or less of screen time outside of school, 1 hour of physical activity, and 0 sugary beverages).
- g. The third annual "Let's Move the Needle on Childhood Obesity" event was held on Sept. 26, 2013. The event was co-sponsored by the Community Foundation for Northern Virginia, and was attended by more than 170 school administrators and teachers, business leaders, government officials and nonprofit leaders. Twenty grants of \$1,000 each were awarded in support of school and community based programs in Northern Virginia to encourage more activity and/or better nutrition for students during the 2013-2014 School Year. Awardees included Clearview Elementary School, Fairfax Villa Elementary School, Hutchison Elementary School, Keene Mill Elementary School, Mosby Woods Elementary School, Oak View Elementary School, Sleepy Hollow Elementary School, and Springfield Estates Elementary School in Fairfax County as well as Antietam Elementary School, Godwin Middle School and Rockledge Elementary School in Prince William County, Baldwin Elementary School in Manassas Park City and Falls Church High School in Falls Church City.

Outside of these priority areas identified in the IFOH 2013 CHNA Implementation Plan, the hospital has continued community benefit programs that address a variety of health concerns. Inova operates much of its community health programs centrally, and as a result, many of these programs are not operated directly by IFOH.

- 1. The Office of Health Equity (OHE) identifies and addresses health disparities in Northern Virginia through internal and community initiatives. The department is dedicated to the elimination of disparities in the community through community partnership, diversity and cultural competence education, and provision of language services. In support of patient safety and satisfaction, language interpretation and translation services are provided at every Inova facility, to facilitate communication with the 14 percent of Inova's patient population who are limited English proficient (LEP). Medical Interpretation in over 200 languages is provided by on-site medical interpreters and telephonic interpreters. In 2015, Inova delivered 59,048 hours of interpreter services and 13,715 hours of Sign Language interpretation across Inova facilities. Over 572 vital documents were translated into Inova's top languages.
- 2. The Inova Comprehensive Addiction Treatment Services Program (CATS) is a leader in providing the highest quality addiction treatment services in Northern Virginia and

surrounding areas. A series of structured programs offers effective, compassionate treatment for individuals dealing with all forms of substance abuse disorders, including addiction to alcohol, prescription drugs, heroin, cocaine and other drugs. Services are available to adults ages 18 and older. The range of services includes: Inpatient Medical Detoxification, Partial Hospitalization Program, Intensive Outpatient Program, Outpatient Groups, Medication Assisted Therapy and Substance Use Assessments. From 2013 – 2015, the CATS Inpatient and Partial Hospitalization Program served 16,540 clients and provided 37,156 Intensive Outpatient Services.

- 3. Climate change and the resulting increases in temperature, air pollution and extreme weather events impact the health of our population, particularly the most vulnerable (seniors, children, and lower income). Inova is working to mitigate those impacts by reducing energy use in our facilities, offering alternative transportation options to employees, and expanding access to local and sustainable food in our cafeterias.
- 4. Since the 2013 CHNA, Inova Behavioral Health created a new department, Inova Behavioral Health Access Services (IBHAS), which acts as an entry way into all services within Behavioral Health. IBHAS serves as an access point for all of Inova Behavioral Health's inpatient and outpatient programs by providing rapid access to assessments for individuals in need of behavioral health and addiction services. IBHAS includes a Central Access Call Center, Psychiatric Liaison services for patients seen in Inova Emergency Departments, scheduled Assessment Services for our Partial Hospitalization Program and CATS Intensive Outpatient Programs, and walk-in services through the Inova Psychiatric Assessment Center (IPAC). IPAC provides a unique and valuable resource to our community by offering urgent psychiatric assessments for adults ages 18 and older and referrals to appropriate providers and levels of care.

¹¹ Watts N, Adgar WN, et al. 2015. Health and climate change: policy responses to protect public health. The Lancet, June 2015.

89

_

¹² EPA. 2015. Climate Change in the United States: Benefits of Global Action. United States Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Atmospheric Programs, EPA 430-R-15-001.]